arXiv:1211.5621v1 [math.QA] 23 Nov 2012

CLASSIFICATION OF ISOMORPHISM TYPES OF HOPF ALGEBRAS IN A CLASS OF ABELIAN EXTENSIONS

LEONID KROP AND YEVGENIA KASHINA

Abstract. We study Hopf algebras which are extensions of the group algebra $\Bbbk C_p$ of a cyclic group C_p of prime order p by the Hopf algebra dual $\Bbbk^G$ of the group algebra $\Bbbk G$ of a finite abelian p-group G over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. The main results are: structure theorem for the 2nd Hopf cohomology groups $H^2_c(\triangleleft)$, a criterion for isomorphism of two extensions, enumeration of isomorphism classes by certain orbits in $H^2_c(\triangleleft)$, and a complete classification of commutative extensions for any odd p.

Keywords Hopf algebras, Abelian extensions, Crossed products, Cohomology Groups

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 16W30 - 16G99

Introduction

The purpose of this article is to find a general criterion for isomorphism of two Hopf algebras in certain classes of abelian extensions. The classes in question consist of Hopf algebras which are extensions of the group algebra $\Bbbk C_p$ by $\Bbbk^G$ for a prime p, where C_p is a cyclic group of prime order p, G a finite abelian p-group, and $\Bbbk$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. The customary definition of equivalence of extensions [16] gives rise to the set $\operatorname{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ of all equivalence classes of extensions.

Every algebra from any of our classes is semisimple by [18, 7.4.2]. By a fundamental result of D. Stefan [21] the number of isomorphism types in any of our classes is finite. Our main results are similar to Stefan's inasmuch as both involve an orbit space. In our case this space is constructed as follows. The group G is a right C_p-module under the action denoted by '$\triangleleft$'. The set $\operatorname{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ splits up into a disjoint union of groups $\operatorname{Opext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ of classes of extensions with the same C_p-action on G. Cohomology theory of abelian extensions

---

Date: 10/20/12.

Research by the first author partially supported by a grant from the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences at DePaul University.

of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras [16] supplies us with the abelian group $H^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ of Hopf 2-cocycles parametrizing algebras in $\mathrm{Opext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$. Two groups play a distinguished rôle in the paper. The first one $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft) = \mathrm{Aut}_{C_p}(G)$ is the group of C_p-automorphisms of G. In the second place comes the group $A_p = \mathrm{Aut}(C_p)$ of automorphisms of C_p. $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ acts on $H^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ turning it into an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-module, while A_p twists the action '$\triangleleft$' into $p-1$ actions $\triangleleft^{\alpha}, \alpha \in A_p$. Let $[G, \triangleleft]$ denote the class of C_p-modules isomorphic to $[G, \triangleleft^{\alpha}]$ for some $\alpha \in A_p$. Furthermore, we let $\mathrm{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ stand for the set of equivalence classes of extensions whose C_p-module G lies in $[G, \triangleleft]$. The main result of the paper is the statement that two Hopf algebras $H, H' \in \mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ are isomorphic implies they lie in $\mathrm{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ for some '$\triangleleft$', and moreover there exists a bijection between the orbits of $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ in $H^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ generated by a single noncoboundary and the isomorphism classes of noncocommutative algebras in $\mathrm{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$. Under a stronger hypothesis on G, namely G elementary abelian, the theorem is strengthened to a bijection between all orbits generated by a single 2-cocycle and isomorphism classes of algebras.

Elements of $\mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ possess two special features. Every algebra H there is equivalent to a smash product $\Bbbk^G \# \Bbbk C_p$, and $\Bbbk^{C_p}$ is central in the dual Hopf algebra H^*. In consequence, it is only the coalgebra structure of H that is deformed by a 2-cococycle. These types of abelian extensions have been studied by M. Mastnak [12]. We adopt his notation $H^2_c(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ for the group of Hopf 2-cocycles. A major result of the paper is structure theorem for $H^2_c(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$. It states that if G is any finite abelian p-group with $p > 2$, or a finite elementary 2-group then there is a C_p-isomorphism

$$H^2_c(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft) \simeq H^2(C_p, \widehat{G}) \times H^2_N(G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \tag{0.1}$$

where $\widehat{G}$ is the dual group of G, $H^2(C_p, G)$ is the second cohomology group of C_p over $\widehat{G}$ with respect to the action $\triangleleft$, and $H^2_N(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ is the kernel of the norm mapping in the Schur multiplier of G. The formula shows an interesting interplay between Hopf algebras and groups. For, in the case $\triangleleft$ is trivial, we have by Proposition 5.1 that the subgroup of commutative extensions in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ is identical with the group of central extensions of G by C_p, so that $H^2_c(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \mathrm{triv})$ equals $H^2(G, C_p)$. Thus, (0.1) can be seen as a Hopf algebraic generalization of a structure theorem for the 2nd cohomology group $H^2(G, A)$ of central extensions of a finite abelian group by a group A [24, Thm. 2.2].

Another notable result is the computation of the number of isomorphism types of commutative algebras in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ for G elementary abelian and an odd p. We conclude the paper with an illustration of our technique in the case $G = C_p \times C_p$ completely described by A. Masuoka [15].

The paper is organized in six sections. In section 1 we review the necessary facts of the theory of abelian extension with the emphasis on duality inherent in the theory and we derive the formula for comultiplication in the dual of a crossed product from first principles. Each abelian extension is associated with a pair of groups (G, F). In section 2 we narrow our focus to the so-called cocentral extensions [8] with the additional property of the trivial 2-cohomology of F in $\Bbbk^G$. In section 3 our setting is even more restrictive. There we study extensions associated with pairs (G, F) where G is a p-group and $F = C_p$ is a cyclic group of order p and we calculate the second Hopf cohomology groups. Section 4 contains the main results of the paper. In Section 5 we classify commutative extensions and compute their number up to isomorphism. In the last section we rederive a key result of [15] using our technique.

1. Background Review

1.1. **Extensions of Hopf Algebras.** Let $\Bbbk$ be a ground field and $\mathcal{H}$ denote the category of Hopf algebras over $\Bbbk$. For a Hopf algebra H we let ϵ_H and u_H denote the augmentation $H \to \Bbbk$ and the unit $\Bbbk \to H$ mappings, respectively. $\Bbbk$ itself can be viewed as a Hopf algebra with $\Delta(1_\Bbbk) = 1_\Bbbk \otimes 1_\Bbbk$, $S(1_\Bbbk) = 1_\Bbbk$ and $\epsilon(1_\Bbbk) = 1_\Bbbk$. It is easy to check that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, \Bbbk) = \{\epsilon_H\}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(\Bbbk, H) = \{u_H\}$. From the point of view of the category theory $\Bbbk$ is the zero object in $\mathcal{H}$. Applying the categorical definition of kernel to $\mathcal{H}$ we say that a Hopf algebra (K, ι) is the kernel of a Hopf morphism $\phi : F \to G$ if $\iota : K \to F$ is a Hopf morphism and linear monomorphism fitting into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} K \arrow[r, "\epsilon_K"] \arrow[d, "\iota"'] & \Bbbk \arrow[d, "u_G"] \\ F \arrow[r, "\phi"] & G \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.1}$$

and universal among all diagrams 1.1. That is, for every (K', ι') satisfying 1.1 there is a unique $\lambda : K' \to K$ with $\iota\lambda = \iota'$. Since $(\Bbbk, u_F)$ satisfies 1.1, the set of subHopfalgebras of F satisfying 1.1 is nonempty. Moreover, the subalgebra generated by two Hopf subalgebras satisfying

1.1 is a Hopf subalgebra satisfying 1.1 hence there exists a unique Hopf subalgebra satisfying 1.1. Following [1] we denote that Hopf algebra by HKerϕ.

Dually, a Hopf morphism and a linear epimorphism $\pi : G \to Q$ is called the Hopf cokernel of ϕ, notation $Q = \mathrm{HCoker}\phi$, if π fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "\epsilon_F"'] & G \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \Bbbk \arrow[r, "u_Q"] & Q \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.2}$$

and for every $\pi' : G \to Q'$ satisfying 1.2 there is a unique $\mu : Q \to Q'$ satisfying $\mu\pi = \pi'$. It is immediate that $\pi : G \to G/G\iota(F^+)G$ is HCokerϕ.

We can make

Definition 1.1. A Hopf algebra C is called a weak extension of a Hopf algebra B by a Hopf algebra A if there is a sequence of Hopf mappings

$$A \overset{\iota}{\rightarrowtail} C \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} B. \tag{S}$$

with $A = \mathrm{HKer}\pi$ and $B = \mathrm{HCoker}\iota$.

In what follows we assume the antipode of C is invertible. We point out that our definition of Hopf kernels coincides with the one in [19] and [1]. Let $F^{\mathrm{co}\phi}$ and ${}^{\mathrm{co}\phi}F$ be the subalgebras of coinvariants [18, 3.4], also denoted by RKerϕ and LKerϕ, respectively, in [3]. A characterization of HKerϕ in [19] and [1] yields immediately inclusions $\mathrm{HKer}\phi \subset \mathrm{LKer}\phi \cap \mathrm{RKer}\phi$. On the other hand, the equalities $\mathrm{HKer}\phi = \mathrm{RKer}\phi = \mathrm{LKer}\phi$, hold provided RKer$\phi$ or LKerϕ are Hopf subalgebras of F by the arguments [3, 4.19] or [1, 1.1.4].

A major drawback of Definition 1.1 is that it does not guarantee, in general, that $\iota(A)$ coincides with either one of subalgebras of coinvariants. That is, HKerϕ is, in general, not equal to RKerϕ. This is already easy to see for any Taft Hopf algebra [22] with respect to the standard epimorphism $\pi : A \to \Bbbk G$, G a cyclic group generated by T in the notation of [19, Ex.1.2]. In this case HKerπ is zero, i.e. equals to $\Bbbk$, while RKerπ is $|G|$-dimensional. An example of a weak extension (S) with $\iota(A) \subsetneqq \mathrm{RKer}\pi \cap \mathrm{LKer}\pi$ is harder and can be found in [19, Ex.1.2]. We adopt the following definition of a Hopf algebra extension.

Definition 1.2. A Hopf algebra C is said to be an extension of B by A if C is a weak extension of B by A and $\mathrm{HKer}\phi = \mathrm{RKer}\phi$.

We add some comments about the definition. The equality $\mathrm{HKer}\phi = \mathrm{RKer}\phi$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{HKer}\phi = \mathrm{LKer}\phi$ and thanks to [3, 4.13] we have that $\iota(A)$ is normal in C. It then follows from [19] that $\mathrm{HCoker}\iota = \iota(A)^+C = C\iota(A)^+$. In consequence our definition coincides with the definition of extension in [2]. If A is finite-dimensional, it is equivalent to H.-J. Schneider definition of strict extension in [19]. To see the latter, use [19, L.2.1(2)] to obtain that C is faithfully flat A. Conversely, assuming A is normal and C is faithfully flat over A, apply [20, Remark 1.2] or [18, 3.4.3] to derive $\iota(A) = \mathrm{RKer}\pi$.

In the case of a finite-dimensional C weaker conditions suffice. Namely, a sequence (S) with ι linear monomorphism, π linear epimorphism is an extension if either $\iota(A) = C^{\mathrm{co}\pi}$ or $\mathrm{Ker}\pi = \iota(A)^+C$. For details see [2, 3.3.1].

1.2. **Abelian Extensions.** We assume in what follows the ground field $\Bbbk$ to be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and C to be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra. An extension (S) is called *abelian* if A is commutative and B is cocommutative. It is well-known [10, Theorem 1] and [18, 2.3.1] that in this case $A = \Bbbk^G$ and $B = \Bbbk F$ for some finite groups G and F. Below we consider only extensions of this kind and we use the notation

$$\Bbbk^G \overset{\iota}{\rightarrowtail} H \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Bbbk F. \tag{A}$$

Of the crucial importance to the sequel is a theorem [20, 2.4] and [14, 3.5] asserting H is a $\Bbbk F$-crossed product over $\Bbbk^G$ [1]. The theorem entails the existence of a mapping called section (see, e.g. [2, 3.1.13])

$$\chi : \Bbbk F \to H \tag{1.3}$$

giving rise to the crossed product structure on H. Thus $H = \Bbbk^G\chi(F)$ with the multiplication

$$(\alpha\chi(x))(\alpha'\chi(y)) = \alpha(\chi(x)\alpha'\chi^{-1}(x))\chi(x)\chi(y) \tag{1.4}$$

$$= \alpha(\chi(x)\alpha'\chi^{-1}(x))[\chi(x)\chi(y)\chi^{-1}(xy)]\chi(xy) \tag{1.5}$$

for $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Bbbk^G$, $x, y \in F$

The mapping $x \otimes \alpha \mapsto x.\alpha := \chi(x)\alpha\chi^{-1}(x)$ defines a module-algebra action of F on $\Bbbk^G$ and the function $\sigma : F \times F \to \Bbbk^G, \sigma(x,y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)\chi^{-1}(xy)$ is a left, normalized 2-cocycle for that action [18, 7.2.3]. The definition of action is independent of a choice of section. This can be made more precise. Let us write $\mathrm{Sec}(\Bbbk F, H)$ for the set of all sections of $\Bbbk F$ in H. Next define the group $\mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Reg}_{1,\epsilon}(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G)$ of all convolution invertible mapping preserving the unit and counit.

---

[1] A short independent proof for abelian extension is given in the Appendix

Identifying $\iota(A)$ with A, $\mathrm{Sec}(B,C)$ becomes an $\mathbb{R}$-set under multiplication by convolution, viz. $f.\chi = f * \chi$, $f \in \mathbb{R}, \chi \in \mathrm{Sec}(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G)$, and by [18, 7.3.5] $\mathrm{Sec}(\Bbbk F, H)$ is a transitive $\mathbb{R}$-set. The claim follows, for $f * \chi$ evidently induces the same action as χ.

The theory of extensions depends fundamentally on the fact that for each sequence (S) its companion sequence

$$B^* \overset{\pi^*}{\rightarrowtail} C^* \overset{\iota^*}{\twoheadrightarrow} A^* \tag{S^*}$$

is also an extension, see [4, 4.1] or [2, 3.3.1]. In the abelian case $(\Bbbk F)^* = \Bbbk^F$ and also $(\Bbbk^G)^* = \Bbbk G$ via the Hopf isomorphism

$$\mathrm{ev} : \Bbbk G \to \Bbbk^G, \mathrm{ev}(g)(\alpha) = \alpha(g) \text{ for all}\, \alpha \in \Bbbk^G$$

Thus every diagram (A) induces a diagram

$$\Bbbk^F \rightarrowtail H^* \twoheadrightarrow \Bbbk G \tag{A^*}$$

A crossed product structure on H^* is effected by a section

$$\omega : \Bbbk G \to H^* \tag{1.6}$$

We choose to write $H^* = \omega(G)\Bbbk^F$ with the multiplication

$$(\omega(a)\beta)(\omega(b)\beta') = \omega(ab)\tau(a,b)(\beta.b)\beta', \tag{1.7}$$

where for $a, b \in G, \beta, \beta' \in \Bbbk^F$

$$\beta.b = \omega^{-1}(b)\beta\omega(b), \text{and} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\tau(a,b) = \omega^{-1}(ab)\omega(a)\omega(b). \tag{1.9}$$

We note that $\tau : G \times G \to \Bbbk^F$ is a right, normalized 2-cocycle for the action $\beta \otimes b \mapsto \beta.b$. Below we write $\overline{x}$ for $\chi(x)$ and $\overline{a}$ for $\omega(a)$.

The left action of F on $\Bbbk^G$ induces a right action of F on G by set permutations of G. Namely, we define the element $a \triangleleft x$ by the equality

$$(x.f)(a) = f(a \triangleleft x) \text{ for all}\, f \in \Bbbk^G \tag{1.10}$$

Noting that an algebra action permutes minimal central idempotents, the action '$\triangleleft$' is related to the action of F on $\Bbbk^G$ in the basis $\{p_a | a \in G\}$ [18] by

$$x.p_a = p_{a \triangleleft x^{-1}} \tag{1.11}$$

For, $x.p_a = p_b$ iff $(x.p_a)(b) = 1$. Now, $(x.p_a)(b) = p_a(b \triangleleft x) = 1$ iff $b \triangleleft x = a$, hence $b = a \triangleleft x^{-1}$.

Similarly, the right action of G on $\Bbbk^F$ induces a left action of G on F by permutations denoted by $a \triangleright x$ satisfying the property

$$p_x.a = p_{a^{-1} \triangleright x} \tag{1.12}$$

We fuse both actions into the definition of a product on $F \times G$ via

$$(xa)(yb) = x(a \triangleright y)(a \triangleleft y)b \tag{1.13}$$

It was noted by M.Takeuchi [23] that composition 1.13 defines a group structure on $F \times G$ provided the actions $\triangleright, \triangleleft$ satisfy the conditions

$$ab \triangleleft x = (a \triangleleft (b \triangleright x))(b \triangleleft x) \tag{1.14}$$

$$a \triangleright xy = (a \triangleright x)((a \triangleleft x) \triangleright y) \tag{1.15}$$

We use the standard notation $F \bowtie G$ for the set $F \times G$ endowed with multiplication (1.13).

1.3. **Co-crossed Coproducts.** Fundamental to the theory of abelian extensions is an explicit description of the coalgebra structure of the dual coalgebra of a crossed product algebra $H = A\#_\sigma B$ [18], A and B finite-dimensional. The coalgebra H^* is an example of a co-crossed co-product of the coalgebra A^* with bialgebra B^*. Coalgebras of this type were introduced in [11], generalizing an earlier construction in [17] and studied in [1]. The formula below is [11, p.9] and [1, 2.15], though we derive it rather than postulate. We need some preliminaries. We identify H^* with $A^* \otimes B^*$ via the pairing $\langle a^* \otimes b^*, a \otimes b\rangle = \langle a^*, a\rangle\langle b^*, b\rangle$. A weak action $r : B \otimes A \to A$ induces a weak coaction $\rho : A^* \to B^* \otimes A^*$ via

$$\rho(a^*) = (a^*)^{(1)} \otimes (a^*)^{(2)} \Leftrightarrow a^*(b.a) = (a^*)^{(1)}(b)(a^*)^{(2)}(a). \tag{1.16}$$

Next, the 2-cocycle $\sigma : B \otimes B \to A$ induces a 2-co-cocycle
$\theta : A^* \to B^* \otimes B^*$ as follows

$$\theta(a^*) = (a^*)^{\langle 1\rangle} \otimes (a^*)^{\langle 2\rangle} \Leftrightarrow a^*(\sigma(b, b')) = (a^*)^{\langle 1\rangle}(b)(a^*)^{\langle 2\rangle}(b'). \tag{1.17}$$

Finally, we use notation $(a^*)_1 \otimes (a^*)_2$ for the coproduct in A^* and a similar notation in B^*. We agree to write $a^{*\theta}\sharp\, b^*$ for $a^* \otimes b^*$ viewed as an element of the co-crossed co-product coalgebra H^*.

Lemma 1.3. *Let Δ_{H^*} and ϵ_{H^*} be the structure maps of H^*.*
There hold the formulas:

$$\Delta_{H^*}(a^{*\theta}\sharp\, b^*) = (a^*)_1{}^{\theta}\sharp\, (a^*)_2^{(1)}(a^*)_3^{\langle 1\rangle}(b^*)_1 \otimes (a^*)_2^{(2)\theta}\sharp\, (a^*)_3^{\langle 2\rangle}(b^*)_2,$$

$$\epsilon_{H^*}(a^{*\theta}\sharp\, b^*) = a^*(1_A)b^*(1_B).$$

Proof: By definition of Δ_{H^*} and multiplication in H [18, 7.1.1]

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{H^*}(a^{*\theta}\sharp\, b^*)(a\#_\sigma b \otimes a'\#_\sigma b') &= \langle a^* \otimes b^*, (a\#_\sigma b)(a'\#_\sigma b')\rangle \\ &= a^*(a(b_1.a')\sigma(b_2, b'_1))b^*(b_3 b'_2).\end{aligned}$$

Expanding the right-hand side of the last equality using (1.16) and (1.17) and reshuffling factors we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a^*)_1(a)(a^*)_2^{(1)}(b_1)(a^*)_2^{(2)}(a')(a^*)_3^{\langle 1\rangle}(b_2)(a^*)_3^{\langle 2\rangle}(b_1')(b^*)_1(b_3)(b^*)_2(b_2')\\
&= [(a^*)_1(a)((a^*)_2^{(1)}(b_1)(a^*)_3^{\langle 1\rangle}(b_2)(b^*)_1(b_3)][(a^*)_2^{(2)}(a')((a^*)_3^{\langle 2\rangle}(b_1')(b^*)_2(b_2')]\\
&= (a^*)_1(a)[(a^*)_2^{(1)}(a^*)_3^{\langle 1\rangle}(b^*)_1](b)(a^*)_2^{(2)}(a')[(a^*)_3^{\langle 2\rangle}(b^*)_2](b'),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the the first formula. The formula for the counit is just $\langle a^* \otimes b^*, 1_A \otimes 1_B\rangle$. □

We return to abelian extensions. We introduce some notation. For a group Γ we let Γ^n be the direct product of n copies of Γ. Let F and G be groups. We abbreviate $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F^n$ and $(a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in G^m$ to $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{a}$, respectively. We note that every $\alpha : F^n \to (\Bbbk^{G^m})^\bullet$ can be uniquely represented in the standard basis $\{p_{\underline{a}} | \underline{a} \in G^m\}$ as

$$\alpha(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\underline{a}} \alpha(\underline{x})(\underline{a}) p_{\underline{a}}. \tag{1.18}$$

There $\alpha(\underline{x}, \underline{a}) := \alpha(\underline{x})(\underline{a})$ is a mapping $F^n \times G^m \to \Bbbk^\bullet$. Conversely, every $\phi : F^n \times G^m \to \Bbbk^\bullet$ gives rise to two mappings $\phi' : F^n \to (\Bbbk^{G^m})^\bullet$ and $\phi'' : G^m \to (\Bbbk^{F^n})^\bullet$ via (1.18) for ϕ' and the formula $\phi''(\underline{a}) = \sum \phi(\underline{x}, \underline{a}) p_{\underline{x}}$ for ϕ''. Let $\mathrm{Fun}(X, Y)$ be the set of mappings from a set X to a set Y. We arrive at the conclusion that the groups $\mathrm{Fun}(F^n, (\Bbbk^{G^m})^\bullet)$, $\mathrm{Fun}(G^m, (\Bbbk^{F^n})^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Fun}(F^n \times G^m, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ can be identified.

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose $H = \Bbbk^G \#_\sigma \Bbbk F$. The structure mappings of coalgebra H^* are given by the formulas*

(1.19)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{H^*}(a \otimes \beta) &= \sum_{x,y \in F} \sigma(x, y, a) a \otimes p_x \beta_1 \otimes (a \triangleleft x) \otimes p_y \beta_2, \ a \in G, \beta \in \Bbbk^F,\\
\epsilon(a \otimes \beta) &= \beta(1_F)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: By the preceeding Lemma we need to know the coaction $\rho : \Bbbk G \to \Bbbk^F \otimes \Bbbk G$ dual to the action of F on $\Bbbk^G$ or, equivalently, to the action of F on G (see (1.11)). As well, we need a formula for the co-cocycle $\theta : \Bbbk G \to \Bbbk^F \otimes \Bbbk^F$. These formulas are as follows:

$$\rho(a) = \sum_{x \in F} p_x \otimes a \triangleleft x \tag{1.20}$$

$$\theta(a) = \sum_{x,y \in F} \sigma(x, y, a) p_x \otimes p_y \tag{1.21}$$

To show the first formula, begin with the expression $\rho(a) = \sum_{y\in F} p_y \otimes r_y$ with $r_y \in \Bbbk G$. Apply (1.16) and calculate

$$\langle \rho(a), x \otimes p_b \rangle = \mathrm{ev}(a)(x.p_b) = (x.p_b)(a) = p_{b\triangleleft x^{-1}}(a) = \delta_{b,a\triangleleft x}$$

On the other hand $\langle \rho(a), x \otimes p_b \rangle = \sum p_y(x)p_b(r_y) = p_b(r_x)$. Say $r_x = \sum k_x^c\, c, k_x^c \in \Bbbk, c \in G$. From $p_b(r_x) = k_x^b = \delta_{b,a\triangleleft x}$ we get the equality $r_x = a \triangleleft x$, which is the result.

To see the second formula, we use (1.17) to compute

$$\langle \theta(a), x \otimes y \rangle = \mathrm{ev}(a)(\sigma(x,y)) = \sigma(x,y,a)$$

which gives the result.

Substituting those expressions of ρ and θ in Lemma 1.3 gives the first statement. To compute the counit use the fact that $1_{\Bbbk^G} = \epsilon_G$. $\square$

Remark 1.5. Starting off with the crossed product $H^* = \Bbbk G \#_\tau \Bbbk^F$, an argument similar to the one in Proposition 1.4 gives the coalgebra structure mappings for H [16, p.7], namely

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_H(\alpha \otimes x) &= \sum_{a,b\in G} \tau(x,a,b)\alpha_1 p_a \otimes b \triangleright x \otimes \alpha_2 p_b \otimes x \\ \epsilon_H(\alpha \otimes a) &= \alpha(1_G) \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

1.4. **Compatible Cocycles and Equivalence Relation.** The discussion in §1.2 enables us to associate to every Hopf algebra H in a diagram (A) a datum $\{\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft, \triangleright\}$ and we write $H = H(\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$. Two data $\sigma, \triangleleft$ and $\tau, \triangleright$ appearing in the crossed products H and H^* are compatible if the coalgebra structure induced in H by multiplication in H^* (or equivalently in H^* by multiplication in H) turns H (or H^*) into a bialgebra, hence a Hopf algebra [2, 3.1.12]. The compatibility conditions are derived using (1.19) or (1.22). They can be found in [16, 4.7] as follows.

Theorem 1.6. (1) *$F \bowtie G$ is a group,*
(2) $\sigma(x,y,1) = 1 = \tau(a,b,1)$,

(3) $$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(x,y,ab)\sigma^{-1}(b \triangleright x, (b \triangleleft x) \triangleright y, a)\sigma^{-1}(x,y,b) \\ &= \tau(a,b,x)\tau(a \triangleleft (b \triangleright x), b \triangleleft x, y)\tau^{-1}(a,b,xy) \end{aligned}$$

The second key concept of the theory is equivalence of extensions. Following [6, 3.10] we call two extensions $E = (A \overset{\iota}{\rightarrowtail} C \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} B)$ and $E' = (A \overset{\iota'}{\rightarrowtail} C \overset{\pi'}{\twoheadrightarrow} B)$ *equivalent*, and write $E \sim E'$, if there is a Hopf algebra map $\psi : H \to H'$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, equal] & H \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & B \arrow[d, equal] \\ A \arrow[r, "\iota'"] & H' \arrow[r, "\pi'"] & B \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.23}$$

We let $\operatorname{Ext}(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G)$ stand for the set of equivalence classes of extensions of type (A). We designate $\operatorname{Opext}(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft, \triangleright))$ for the set of equivalence classes of extensions with the fixed actions $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleright$. We proceed to describe equivalent extensions precisely. As a preliminary we introduce groups $\operatorname{Map}(F^n \times G^m, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ of all normalized mappings, i.e. $f : F^n \times G^m \to \Bbbk^\bullet$ such that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_m) = 1$ if some of $x_1, \dots, x_n$ or $a_1 \dots, a_m$ are equal to 1_F or 1_G, respectively, under the pointwise multiplication. We define actions of F and G on $\operatorname{Map}(F^n \times G^m, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ as follows. For any $f : F^n \times G^m \to \Bbbk^\bullet$,

$$y.f(x_1, ..., x_n, a_1, ..., a_m) = f(x_1, ..., x_n, a_1 \triangleleft (a_2 \cdots a_m \triangleright y), ..., a_m \triangleleft y) \tag{1.24}$$

$$f(x_1, ..., x_n, a_1, ..., a_m).b = f(b \triangleright x_1, ..., (b \triangleleft x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}) \triangleright x_n, a_1, ..., a_n), \tag{1.25}$$

where all $x_i, y \in F$ and $a_j, b \in G$.

The formulas below reflect the fact, standard in the theory of extensions, that an equivalence is just a diagonal change of basis. They are particular cases of [6, 3.11] and also [16, 5.2]. Combining [16, 3.4]or [18, 7.3.4] with (1.19) or (1.22) one can show

Lemma 1.7. *Two extensions defined by data* $\{\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft, \triangleright\}$ *and* $\{\sigma', \tau', \triangleleft', {}'\triangleright\}$ *are equivalent if and only if* $\triangleleft = \triangleleft'$, $\triangleright = {}'\triangleright$ *and there exists* $\zeta \in \operatorname{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ *satisfying*

$$\sigma'(x, y, a) = \sigma(x, y, a)(x.\zeta^{-1}(y, a))\zeta(xy, a)\zeta^{-1}(x, a), \tag{1.26}$$

$$\tau'(x, a, b) = \tau(x, a, b)(\zeta(x, a).b)\zeta(x, ab)^{-1}\zeta(x, b) \tag{1.27}$$

The mapping ψ *of* (1.23) *is given by* $\psi(\alpha\overline{x}) = \alpha\zeta(x)\overline{x}$ *for all* $\alpha \in \Bbbk^G, x \in F$.

We shall need the dual version of the above Lemma. We agree to write $H^*(\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$ for $H(\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft, \triangleright)^*$.

Lemma 1.8. $H^*(\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$ *and* $H^*(\sigma', \tau', \triangleleft', {}'\triangleright)$ *are equivalent extensions if and only if* $\triangleleft = \triangleleft'$, $\triangleright = {}'\triangleright$ *and there exists* $\eta \in \operatorname{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$

for which there holds

$$\tau'(x,a,b)=\tau(x,a,b)(\eta(x,a).b)^{-1}\eta(x,ab)\eta(x,b)^{-1} \tag{1.28}$$

$$\sigma'(x,y,a)=\sigma(x,y,a)(x.\eta(y,a))\eta(xy,a)^{-1}\eta(x,a) \tag{1.29}$$

We give a cohomological characterization of the equivalence relation and compatibility. To this end we review definition of the 2nd cohomology group of a matched pair of groups (F,G) following [16].

We will be concerned with abelian groups $\mathrm{Map}(F^n\times G^m,\Bbbk^\bullet)$. Our interest lies in the 2nd cohomology group. Therefore we limit ourselves to defining differentials on groups $\mathrm{Map}(F^n\times G^m,\Bbbk^\bullet)$ with $n=1$ or $m=1$. We define two kinds of differentials, $\delta_{lF}:\mathrm{Map}(F\times G^m,\Bbbk^\bullet)\to\mathrm{Map}(F^2\times G^m,\Bbbk^\bullet)$ and $\delta_{rG}:\mathrm{Map}(F^n\times G,\Bbbk^\bullet)\to\mathrm{Map}(F^n\times G^2,\Bbbk^\bullet)$ called the right and left differential, respectively. These are defined by

$$(\delta_{lF}f)(x,y,a_1,\dots,a_m)=(x.f(y,a_1,\dots,a_m))f(x,a_1,\dots,a_m)\\ f(xy,a_1,\dots a_m)^{-1} \tag{1.30}$$

$$(\delta_{rG}f)(x_1,\dots,x_n,a,b)=(f(x_1,\dots,x_n,a).b)f(x_1,\dots,x_n,b)\\ f(x_1,\dots,x_n,ab)^{-1} \tag{1.31}$$

We note that the above definitions are the familiar ones in group cohomology. For, by the remarks preceeding Proposition (1.4), $\mathrm{Map}(F\times G^m,\Bbbk^\bullet)$ can be identified with a subgroup of 1-cochains $\mathrm{Map}(F,(\Bbbk^{G^m})^\bullet)$ vanishing on all $\underline{a}$ with some $a_i=1$, and then δ_{lF} is the standard differential for a left action of F on $\Bbbk^{G^m}$. Likewise, δ_{rG} is the differential on a subgroup of 1-cochains of G in $(\Bbbk^{F^n})^\bullet$ for a right action of G on $\Bbbk^{F^n}$.

Let $Z^2=Z^2(F,(\Bbbk^G)^\bullet,\triangleleft)$ be the group of left normalized 2-cocycles σ for the left action of F on $\Bbbk^G$ induced by $\triangleleft$ via (1.11) satisfying $\sigma(x,y,1)=1$ for all $x,y\in F$. Similarly, we let $Z'^2=Z'^2(G,(\Bbbk^F)^\bullet,\triangleright)$ stand for the group of right normalized 2-cocycles τ for the right G-action on $\Bbbk^F$ induced by $\triangleright$ subject to $\tau(1,a,b)=1$ for all $a,b\in G$. We note that τ is a right 2-cocycle if τ satisfies

$$(\tau(a,b).c)\tau(ab,c)=\tau(a,bc)\tau(b,c).$$

The differentials δ_{rG},δ_{lF} act on Z^2,Z'^2, as the latter are subgroups of $\mathrm{Map}(F^2\times G,\Bbbk^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Map}(F\times G^2,\Bbbk^\bullet)$, respectively. We can restate compatibility condition as follows: a pair of 2-cocycles $(\sigma,\tau)\in Z^2\times Z'^2$ is compatible iff

$$\delta_{rG}(\sigma^{-1})=\delta_{lF}(\tau). \tag{1.32}$$

We let $Z^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}=Z^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}(F,G,\triangleleft,\triangleright)$ denote the subgroup of $Z^2\times Z'^2$ of all compatible pairs. Mappings δ_{rG},δ_{lF} induce the mapping

$\partial : \mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Map}(F^2 \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \times \mathrm{Map}(F \times G^2, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ by $\partial(\zeta) = (\delta_{lF}(\zeta^{-1}), \delta_{rG}(\zeta))$ for $\zeta \in \mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$. One can check directly that δ_{lF}, δ_{rG} commute on $\mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$. This implies readily that $\partial(\mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)) \subset Z^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}$. We denote this subgroup by $B^2_{\mathrm{Hf}} = B^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}(F, G, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$ and call it the subgroup of Hopf coboundaries. We are led to

Definition 1.9. We call the group

$$H^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}(F, G, \triangleleft, \triangleright) = Z^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}/B^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}$$

the second Hopf cohomology group of a matched pair (F, G).

We will often use the abbreviated notation H^2_{Hf}. We note that by Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 1.7 the elements of H^2_{Hf} parametrize the set $\mathrm{Opext}(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft, \triangleright))$.

2. Cocentral Extensions

An extension (A) is called cocentral [8] if $\Bbbk^F$ is a central subalgebra of H^*. We collect some properties of cocentral extensions in-

Lemma 2.1. (1) *An extension* (A) *is cocentral iff* G *is a normal subgroup of* $F \bowtie G$ *in which case* $F \bowtie G$ *is a semidirect product* $F \ltimes G$.

(2) *If* (A) *is cocentral, then* $\Delta_{\Bbbk^G}$ *is* F*-linear.*

Proof: To see (1) observe that G is normal iff $a \triangleright x = x$ for all $a \in G, x \in F$. On the other hand $\Bbbk^F$ is cocentral iff $p_x.a = p_{a^{-1} \triangleright x} = p_x$ by (1.12). The rest of part (1) is immediate from (1.14).

For (2) we must show the equality $\Delta_{\Bbbk^G}(x.p_a) = x.\Delta_{\Bbbk^G}(p_a)$. On the one hand we have

$$x.\Delta_{\Bbbk^G}(p_a) = \sum_{bc=a} x.p_b \otimes x.p_c = \sum_{bc=a} p_{b \triangleleft x^{-1}} \otimes p_{c \triangleleft x^{-1}}.$$

In the second place

$$\Delta_{\Bbbk^G}(x.p_a) = \Delta_{\Bbbk^G}(p_{a \triangleleft x^{-1}}) = \sum_{ef = a \triangleleft x^{-1}} p_e \otimes p_f$$

It remains to notice that the mappings $(b, c) \mapsto (b \triangleleft x^{-1}, c \triangleleft x^{-1})$, $(e, f) \mapsto (e \triangleleft x, f \triangleleft x)$ give a bijective correspondence between the sets $\{(b, c) | bc = a\}$ and $\{(e, f) | ef = a \triangleleft x^{-1}\}$ as the action '$\triangleleft$' is by group automorphisms. □

In the rest of the section we consider extensions (A) satisfying conditions

$$\Bbbk^F \text{ is central in } H^* \text{ and } H^2(F, ((\Bbbk^G)^\bullet) = \{1\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Under assumptions* (2.1) *every extension* $H(\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft)$ *is equivalent to an extension* $H(1, \tau', \triangleleft)$

PROOF: By assumption $\sigma = \delta_{lF}\zeta, \zeta \in \mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$. Then by Lemma 1.7 the mapping $\psi : H(\sigma, \tau, \triangleleft) \to H(1, \tau', \triangleleft)$, $\psi(\alpha\overline{x}) = \alpha\zeta(x)\overline{x}, \alpha \in \Bbbk^G, x \in F$ with τ' given by (1.27) is the required equivalence. □

These types of extensions have been studied by M.Mastnak [12]. We adopt his definition of the second Hopf cohomology groups in the next

Definition 2.3. We let $Z_c^2(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ denote the group of all elements τ of $Z'^2(G, (\Bbbk^F)^\bullet, \mathrm{id})$ satisfying $\delta_{lF}(\tau) = 1$. We let $B_c^2(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ stand for the subgroup of 2-cocycles of the form $\delta_{rG}\eta$, $\eta \in \mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ satisfying $\delta_{lF}\eta = 1$. We define the 2nd Hopf cohomology group by

$$H_c^2(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft) = Z_c^2(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)/B_c^2(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft).$$

We often use abbreviated symbols Z_c^2, B_c^2 and H_c^2 whenever there is no danger of confusion. Explicitly both conditions $\delta_{lF}\tau = 1$ and $\delta_{lF}\eta = 1$ are

$$\tau(xy) = \tau(x)(x.\tau(y)) \tag{2.2}$$

$$\eta(xy) = \eta(x)(x.\eta(y)) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in F$.

Some remarks are in order. By the preceeding Lemma every element of $\mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk F, \Bbbk^G)$ is determined by a triple $\{1, \tau, \triangleleft\}$ with $\tau \in Z'^2 = Z'^2(G, (\Bbbk^F)^\bullet, \mathrm{id})$ subject by Theorem 1.6(3) to (2.2). Also every compatible pair is equivalent in Z^2_{Hf} to a pair $(1, \tau')$ for some $\tau' \in Z_c^2$. Moreover, $B^2_{\mathrm{Hf}} \cap Z_c^2 = B_c^2$ which implies that the mapping $H_c^2 \to H^2_{\mathrm{Hf}} : (1, \tau)B_c^2 \mapsto (1, \tau)B^2_{\mathrm{Hf}}$ is an isomorphism.
We call elements of Z_c^2 and B_c^2 *Hopf* 2-cocycle and 2-coboundaries, respectively. We note that as G acts trivially on $\Bbbk^F$, the difference between a left and right 2-cocycle disappears. Therefore we simplify the notation $Z'^2(G, (\Bbbk^F)^\bullet, \mathrm{id})$ by dropping out the '$'$' and 'id', and write δ_G for δ_{rG}. We also define more general semiHopf coboundaries. These are elements of

$$B_s^2 := \{\delta_G\eta | \eta \in \mathrm{Map}(F \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)\} \cap Z_c^2.$$

We need to establish F-invariance of subgroups just defined.

Lemma 2.4. *If F is abelian, then subgroups Z_c^2, B_c^2 and B_s^2 are F-invariant.*

Proof: Siffices to show that for any $f \in \mathrm{Map}(F \times G^m, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Map}(F^n \times G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ there holds

$$x.(\delta_{lF} f) = \delta_{lF}(x.f), \tag{2.4}$$

$$x.(\delta_G\, g) = \delta_G(x.g) \tag{2.5}$$

For, setting $m = 1, 2, f = \eta, \tau$ and $n = 1, g = \eta$ in (2.4),(2.5) we get our statement.

(2.5) is immediate from definitions in view of G acting trivially on F. To show (2.4) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}&x.(\delta_{lF} f)(y, z, a_1, \ldots, a_m) = (\delta_{lF} f)(y, z, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x) =\\ &f(y, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x)(y.f)(z, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x)\\ &f(yz, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x)^{-1} = f(y, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x)\\ &(xy.f)(z, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x) f(yz, a_1 \triangleleft x, \ldots, a_m \triangleleft x)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Switching around x and y in the middle term we get exactly $\delta_{lF}(x.f)$. □

3. Some p-Groups

We assume from now on that G is a p-group and $F = C_p$ is a cyclic group of order p for a prime integer p. The group $F \bowtie G$ is a p-group as well, hence nilpotent. As G has index p in $F \bowtie G$, G is normal in $F \bowtie G$. By Lemma 2.1(1) the action $\triangleright$ is trivial. In addition, as $\Bbbk^\bullet$ is a divisible group the group $H^2(C_p, (\Bbbk^G)^\bullet)$ vanishes by e.g. [12, 4.4]. Thus the results of the preceeding section are applicable. We note a simple fact.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tau \in Z^2(G, (\Bbbk^F)^\bullet)$. Then $\tau(x)$ is a 2-cocycle for G with coefficients in $\Bbbk^\bullet$ with the trivial action of G on $\Bbbk^\bullet$.*

Proof: The 2-cocycle condition for the trivial action is

$$\tau(a, bc)\tau(b, c) = \tau(ab, c)\tau(a, b). \tag{3.1}$$

Expanding both sides of the above equality in the basis $\{p_x\}$ and equating coefficients of p_x proves the assertion. □

Consider a group F acting on an abelian group A, written multiplicatively, by group automorphisms. Let $\mathbb{Z}F$ be the group algebra of F over $\mathbb{Z}$. $\mathbb{Z}F$ acts on A via

$$(\sum c_i x_i).a = \prod x_i.(a^{c_i}),\ c_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ x_i \in F.$$

For $F = C_p$ pick a generator t of C_p and set $\phi_i = 1 + t + \cdots + t^{i-1}, i = 1, \ldots, p$. An easy induction on i shows that condition (2.2) implies

$$\tau(t^i) = \phi_i.\tau(t), \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, p \tag{3.2}$$

For $i = p$ we have

$$\phi_p.\tau(t) = 1 \tag{3.3}$$

in view of $t^p = 1$ and $\tau(1) = 1$.

Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}C_p$-module. Following [13] we define a mapping $N : M \to M$ by $N(m) = \phi_p(t).m$. We denote by M_N the kernel of N in M. For $M = Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet), B^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ or $H^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ we write $Z^2_N(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ for $Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)_N$ and similarly for the other groups. We abbreviate $Z^2_N(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ to Z^2_N and likewise for $B^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ and $H^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$.

Definition 3.2. We call a 2-cocycle $s \in Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ *admissible* if s satisfies the condition

$$\phi_p.s = 1 \tag{3.4}$$

Thus by definition the set of all admissible cocycles is Z^2_N. We note that Z^2_N is a subgroup of Z^2 as $\mathbb{Z}F$ acts by endomorphisms of Z^2. We want to compare abelian groups Z^2_c and Z^2_N. This is done via the mapping

$$\theta : Z^2_c \to Z^2_N, \, \theta(\tau) = \tau(t).$$

Lemma 3.3. *The mapping θ realizes a C_p-isomorphism between Z^2_c and Z^2_N.*

Proof: We begin with an obvious equality $x.(\tau(y)) = (x.\tau)(y)$. Taking $y = t$ we get $\theta(x.\tau) = x.\theta(\tau)$, that is C_p-linearity of θ. The relations (3.2) show that θ is monic. It remains to establish that θ is epic.

Suppose s is an admissible 2-cocycle of G in $\Bbbk^\bullet$. Define $\tau : G \times G \to (\Bbbk^F)^\bullet$ by setting $\tau(t^i) = \phi_i(t).s$, $1 \le i \le p$. The proof will be complete if we demonstrate that τ satisfies (2.2).

For any $i, j \le p$ we have

$$\tau(t^i)(t^i.\tau(t^j)) = (\phi_i(t).s)(t^i\phi_j(t).s) = (\phi_i(t) + t^i\phi_j(t)).s$$

Say $i+j = p+m$. One sees easily that $\phi_i(t)+t^i\phi_j(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p+m-1} t^k$. Hence if $i + j \le p$ we have $\phi_i(t) + t^i\phi_j(t) = \phi_{i+j}(t)$ and so $\tau(t^i)(t^i.\tau(t^j)) = \tau(t^{i+j})$. If $m \ge 1$, then $\sum_{k=0}^{p+m-1} t^k = \phi_p(t) + t^p(1 + \cdots + t^{m-1})$ which implies $(\sum_{k=0}^{p+m-1} t^k).s = \phi_p(t).s \cdot t^p\phi_m(t).s = \phi_m(t).s = \tau(t^{i+j})$ by (3.4) and as $t^p = 1$. □

The next step is to describe structure of B^2_s. We need some preliminaries. We write δ for the differential on the group of 1-cochains of G in $\Bbbk^\bullet$. We also note $B^2_N = B^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \cap Z^2_N$. By (3.4) $\delta f \in B^2_N$ iff $\phi_p(t).\delta f = 1$ which, in view of δ being C_p-linear, is the same as $\delta(\phi_p(t).f) = 1$. Since $(\delta f)(a,b) = f(a)f(b)f(ab)^{-1}$, $\operatorname{Ker}\delta$ consists of characters of G, whence $\delta f \in B^2_N$ iff $\phi_p(t).f$ is a character of G. Put $G_{\text{ab}} = G/G'$ and note that the group of characters of G can be identified with that of G_{ab} denoted $\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}$. Note also that C_p acts on G_{ab} as G' is a characteristic subgroup of G, hence on $\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}$. Say $\chi = \phi_p(t).f \in \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}$. Then as $t\phi_p(t) = \phi_p(t)$, χ is a fixed point of the C_p-module $\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}$. We let $\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}^{C_p}$ stand for the set of fixed points. Pick a $\mathbb{Z}C_p$-module M. Taking $M = \widehat{G_{ab}}$ the theorem [13, 7.1] gives the equality $H^2(C_p, \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}) = \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}^{C_p}/N(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}})$. We connect B^2_N to $H^2(C_p, \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}})$ via a homomorphism

$$\Phi : B^2_N \to H^2(C_p, \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}),\ \delta f \mapsto (\phi_p.f)N(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}) \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.4. *The following holds*

(i) $\theta(B^2_s) = B^2_N$,
(ii) $\theta(B^2_c) = \ker\Phi$,
(iii) $B^2_N/\ker\Phi \simeq H^2(C_p, \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}})$.

Proof: First we show that Φ is well-defined. For, $\delta f = \delta g$ iff $fg^{-1} = \chi \in \widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\delta f) &= (\phi_p.f)N(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}) = (\phi_p.g\chi)N(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}})\\ &= (\phi_p.g \cdot \phi_p.\chi)N(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}) = (\phi_p.g)N(\widehat{G_{\text{ab}}}) = \Phi(\delta g)\end{aligned}$$

(i) Take some $\delta_G\eta \in B^2_s$. Evidently (*) $\delta_G\eta))(x) = \delta(\eta(x)), x \in C_p$, hence $\theta(\delta_G\eta) = \delta\eta(t)$ is a coboundary with $\phi_p.\delta(\eta(t)) = 1$, whence $\theta(\delta_G\eta) \in B^2_N$. Conversely, pick $\delta f \in B^2_N$ and define $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^p(\phi_i.\delta f)p_{t^i}$. The argument of Lemma 3.3 shows ω lies in Z^2_c. Set $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^p(\phi_i.f)p_{t^i}$. Using (*) again we derive

$$\delta_G\eta = \sum_{i=1}^p(\phi_i.\delta f)p_{t^i} = \omega,$$

hence $\delta_G\eta \in B^2_s$. Clearly $\theta(\delta_G\eta) = \delta f$.

We take up part (ii). The argument of Lemma 3.3 is applicable to 1-cocycles satisfying (2.3). It shows that η satisfies (2.3) iff

$$\eta(t^i) = \phi_i.\eta(t) \tag{3.6}$$

For $i = p$ we get $\phi_p.\eta(t) = \epsilon$, hence the calculation

$$\Phi(\theta(\delta\eta)) = \Phi(\delta(\eta(t))) = (\phi_p.\eta(t))N(\widehat{G_{\mathrm{ab}}}) = N(\widehat{G_{\mathrm{ab}}}).$$

gives one direction. Conversely, $\Phi(\delta f) = N(\widehat{G_{\mathrm{ab}}})$ means $\phi_p.f = \phi_p.\chi$ which implies $\phi_p.f\chi^{-1} = \epsilon$. Set $g = f\chi^{-1}$ and define a 1-cocycle $\eta_g = \sum_{i=1}^{p}(\phi_i.g)p_{t^i}$. Since $\phi_p.g = \epsilon$, η_g satisfies (2.3) forcing $\delta_G\eta_g \in B^2_c$. As $(\delta_G\eta_g)(t) = \delta g = \delta f$ by construction, $\theta(\delta_G\eta_g) = \delta f$.

(iii) We must show that Φ is onto. For every character χ in $\widehat{G}^{C_p}$ we want to construct an $f : G \to \Bbbk^\bullet$ satisfying $\phi_p.f = \chi$. To this end we consider splitting of G into the orbits under the action of C_p. Since every orbit is either regular, or a fixed point we have

$$G = \cup_{i=1}^{r}\{g_i, g_i \triangleleft t, \ldots, g_i \triangleleft t^{p-1}\} \cup G^{C_p}$$

For every $s \in G^{C_p}$ we pick a $\rho_s \in \Bbbk$ satisfying $\rho_s^p = \chi(s)$. We define f by the rule

$$f(g_i) = \chi(g_i),\ f(g_i \triangleleft t^j) = 1 \text{ for all } j \neq 1 \text{ and all } i = 1, \ldots, r, \text{ and}$$
$$f(s) = \rho_s \text{ for every } s \in G^{C_p}$$

By definition $(\phi_p.f)(g) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} f(g \triangleleft t^j)$. Therefore $(\phi_p.f)(s) = \rho_s^p = \chi(s)$ for every $s \in G^{C_p}$. If $g = g_i \triangleleft t^j$ for some i, j, then a calculation $(\phi_p.f)(g) = f(g_i) = \chi(g_i) = \chi(g_i \triangleleft t^j) = \chi(g)$, which uses the fact that χ is a fixed point under the induced action by C_p, completes the proof. □

We proceed to the main result of the section.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose G is a finite abelian p-group if $p > 2$, or an elementary abelian 2-group. There exists a C_p-isomorphism*

$$H^2_c \simeq H^2(C_p, \hat{G}) \times H^2_N(G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \tag{3.7}$$

Proof: (1) First we take up the $p > 2$-case. By the two preceeding lemmas we have $H^2_c \simeq Z^2_N/\ker\Phi$. We calculate the latter quotient.

We note that for any p there is a group splitting $Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet) = B^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \times H^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ due to the fact that the group of 1-cocycles $\Bbbk^{\bullet G}$ is injective, and hence so is $B^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$. We aim at finding a C_p-invariant complement to $B^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$. To this end, following [24, p. 157] we define a group epimorphism $\underline{a} : Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet) \to Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ as the antisymmetrization mapping sending $z \in Z^2(G, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ to $\underline{a}(z)$ defined by $\underline{a}(z)(a, b) = z(a, b)z^{-1}(b, a)$. It is immediate that $\underline{a}$ is C_p-linear.

For a finite abelian group G we denote by $\mathrm{Alt}\,(G)$ the group of all bimultiplicative alternating functions

$$\beta : G \times G \to \Bbbk^\bullet,\ \beta(ab,c) = \beta(a,c)\beta(b,c), \text{and}\, \beta(a,a) = 1 \,\text{for all}\, a \in G.$$

One can verify [24, Thm. 2.2], that $\mathrm{im}\,\underline{a} = \mathrm{Alt}(G)$ and, moreover, $\ker\,\underline{a} = B^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet)$. The latter is a consequence of the observation (cf. [24, Prop. 2.1]) that over an algebraically closed field every symmetric z, i.e. such that $z(a,b) = z(b,a)$, is a coboundary. As a corollary we note a C_p-isomorphism $H^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{Alt}(G)$.

For every $\beta = \underline{a}(z)$ a simple calculation gives $\underline{a}(\beta) = \beta^2$. Since elements of $\mathrm{Alt}(G)$ are bimultiplicative mappings, they have orders dividing the exponent of G. Thus $\underline{a}(\beta) \neq 1$ for all $\beta \in \mathrm{Alt}(G)$. It follows $B^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet) \cap \mathrm{Alt}(G) = \{1\}$. We arrive at a splitting of abelian groups

$$Z^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet) = B^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet) \times \mathrm{Alt}(G)$$

But now both subgroups $B^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Alt}(G)$ are C_p-invariant hence the above splitting is a C_p-splitting. Taking $\ker N$ of both sides of the last displayed equation we obtain $Z^2_N(G,\Bbbk^\bullet) = B^2_N(G,\Bbbk^\bullet) \times \mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$ which, in view of $\mathrm{Alt}(G) = H^2(G,\Bbbk^\bullet)$, is the same as

$$Z^2_N = B^2_N \times H^2_N(G,\Bbbk^\bullet). \tag{3.8}$$

Now part (iii) of Lemma 3.4 completes the proof of part (1). □

(2). Assume G is an elementary 2-group. First we establish an intermediate result, namely

Lemma 3.6. *Z^2_N is a nonsplit extension of $Alt_N(G)$ by B^2_N.*

Proof: The restriction of $\underline{a}^*$ of $\underline{a}$ to Z^2_N induces a C_2-homomorphism $Z^2_N \overset{\underline{a}^*}{\to} \mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$. We have $\ker\,\underline{a}^* = B^2 \cap Z^2_N = B^2_N$. It remains to determine $\mathrm{im}\,\underline{a}^*$ and show nonexistence of an invariant complement to B^2_N.

We begin by constructing a basis of $\mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$. Set $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 C_2$ for the group algebra of C_2 over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. R-module G decomposes as

$$G = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_m \times G_0 \tag{3.9}$$

where $R_i \simeq R$ as a C_2-module, and $G_0 = G^{C_2}$. Denote by t the generator of C_2. For each i let $\{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}\}$ be a basis of R_i such that $t.x_{2i-1} = x_{2i}$. We also fix a basis $\{x_{2m+1}, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$ of G_0.

We associate to every subset $\{i,j\}$ a bilinear form α_{ij} by setting

$$\alpha_{ij}(x_i,x_j) = \alpha_{ij}(x_j,x_i) = -1, \,\text{and}\, \alpha_{ij}(x_k,x_l) = 1 \,\text{for any}\, \{k,l\} \neq \{i,j\}.$$

One can check easily that t acts on basic elements as follows

$$t.\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{kl} \text{ if and only if } t.\{x_i,x_j\} = \{x_k,x_l\}. \tag{3.10}$$

We define elements β_{ij} via

$$\beta_{ij} = \phi_2.\alpha_{ij} \text{ if } t.\alpha_{ij} \neq \alpha_{ij}, \text{ and } \beta_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}, \text{ otherwise.} \tag{3.11}$$

The label ij on β_{ij} is not unique as $\beta_{ij} = \beta_{kl}$ whenever $t.\{x_i, x_j\} = \{x_k, x_l\}$. Of the two sets $\{i, j\}$ and $\{k, l\}$ labeling β_{ij} we agree to use the one with the smallest element. We pause to prove a lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *The elements $\{\beta_{ij}\}$ form a basis of $Alt_N(G)$.*

Proof: Suppose $\beta \in \mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$. Say $\beta = \prod \alpha_{ij}^{e_{ij}}$, $e_{ij} = 0, 1$. From $t.\beta = \prod (t.\alpha_{ij})^{e_{ij}} = \beta$ we see that if α_{ij} occurs in β, i.e. $e_{ij} = 1$, then so does $t.\alpha_{ij}$, hence β is a product of β_{ij}. □

We return to the proof of Lemma 3.6. We aim to show $\underline{a}^*$ is an epimorphism. First we show $\phi_2.\mathrm{Alt}(G) \subset \mathrm{im}\,\underline{a}^*$. For, if $\beta = \phi_2.\alpha$, pick an $s \in Z^2$ with $\underline{a}(s) = \alpha$. Then $(t-1).s \in Z_N^2$, and $\underline{a}((t-1).s) = (t-1).\underline{a}(s) = (t-1).\alpha = \phi_2.\alpha = \beta$, as $\alpha^2 = 1$, which completes the proof.

By Lemma 3.7 and definition (3.11) it remains to show that all fixed points β_{ij} lie in $\mathrm{im}\,\underline{a}^*$. By formula (3.10) α_{ij} is a fixed point if and only if either (i) $\{i, j\} \subset \{2m+1, \ldots, n\}$ or (ii) $\{i, j\} = \{2k-1, 2k\}$ for some k, $1 \leq k \leq m$.

We construct a copy of $\mathrm{Alt}(G)$ in Z^2. To every ordered pair $(i, j), i \neq j$ we associate a bimultiplicative function $s_{i,j} \in Z^2$ by the formula

$$s_{i,j}(x_k, x_l) = \begin{cases} -1, & (k, l) = (i, j) \\ 1, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We note that a simple verification gives $\underline{a}(s_{i,j}) = \alpha_{ij}$.

Consider case (i). We claim $s_{i,j}$ is a fixed point. For, $t.s_{i,j}$ is bimultiplicative, hence is determined by its values at (x_k, x_l). It is immediate that $t.s_{ij}(x_k, x_l) = s_{i,j}(x_k, x_l)$ for all (x_k, x_l), whence the assertion. Since $s_{i,j}^2 = 1$ for all i, j, $\phi_2.s_{i,j} = 1$, hence $s_{i,j} \in Z_N^2$. As $\underline{a}(s_{i,j}) = \alpha_{ij}$, this case is done.

We take up (ii). Say $z = s_{2i-1,2i}$ for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. An easy verification gives $\phi_2.z = \alpha_{2i-12i} \neq 1$. Thus $z \notin Z_N^2$. The proof will be completed if we find a coboundary δg_i such that $z\delta g_i \in Z_N^2$. Since $\underline{a}(\alpha_{2i-12i}) = 1, \alpha_{2i-12i} = \delta f_i$ for some $f_i : G \to \Bbbk^\bullet$. Put G_i for the subgroup of G generated by all $x_j, j \neq 2i-1, 2i$. We assert that one choice is the function f_i defined by

$$f_i(x_{2i-1}^{j_1} x_{2i}^{j_2} x') = (-1)^{j_1 + j_2 + j_1 j_2} \text{ for all } x' \in G_i$$

For, on the one hand it is immediate that for any $x', x'' \in G_i$

$$\alpha_{2i-12i}(x_{2i-1}^{j_1} x_{2i}^{j_2} x', x_{2i-1}^{k_1} x_{2i}^{k_2} x'') = (-1)^{j_1 k_2 + j_2 k_1}$$

On the other hand the definitions of f_i and differential δ give

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta f_i(x_{2i-1}^{j_1}x_{2i}^{j_2}x', x_{2i-1}^{k_1}x_{2i}^{k_2}x'')\\ &\quad = (-1)^{j_1+j_2+j_1j_2}(-1)^{k_1+k_2+k_1k_2}(-1)^{j_1+k_1+j_2+k_2+(j_1+k_1)(j_2+k_2)}\\ &\quad = (-1)^{j_1k_2+j_2k_1}\end{aligned}$$

Define a function $g_i : G \to \Bbbk^\bullet$ by $g_i(x_{2i-1}^{j_1}x_{2i}^{j_2}x') = i^{j_1+j_2+j_1j_2}$ where $i^2 = -1$. One can check easily the equalities $f_i^2 = 1$ and $t.g_i = g_i$, $g_i^2 = f_i$. Hence we have $f_i(\phi_2.g_i) = f_ig_i^2 = f_i^2 = 1$, and then a calculation

$$\phi_2.(z\delta g_i) = (\phi_2.z)(\phi_2.\delta g_i) = \delta f_i \cdot \delta(\phi_2.g_i) = \delta(f_i(\phi_2.g_i)) = 1$$

completes the proof of $\underline{a}^*$ epimorphism.

Suppose $Z_N^2 = B_N^2 \times C$ where C is a C_2-invariant subgroup. Then C is mapped isomorphically on $\mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$ under $\underline{a}$ and so there is a unique $z \in C$ such that $\underline{a}(z) = \alpha_{12}$. Since $\underline{a}(s_{1,2}) = \alpha_{12}$, $z = s_{1,2}\delta g$ for some $g : G \to \Bbbk^\bullet$. Since α_{12} is a fixed point $\underline{a}(t.z) = \alpha_{12}$ as well, hence $t.z = z$. Further, $\mathrm{Alt}(G)$ is an elementary 2-group, and therefore $1 = z^2 = (s_{1,2}\delta g)^2 = (\delta g)^2 = \delta(g^2)$. It follows that g^2 is a character of G. Moreover, $t.z = z$ is equivalent to $t.s_{1,2}(t.\delta g) = s_{1,2}\delta g$ which in turn gives $s_{1,2}(t.s_{1,2})(t.\delta g) = \delta g$. As $\phi_2.s_{1,2} = \alpha_{12} = \delta f_1$ we have $\delta f_1(t.\delta g) = \delta g$ which implies $\delta f_1 = \delta g(t.\delta g)$ as δg has order 2. Equivalently we have the equality

$$f_1 = g \cdot (t.g) \cdot \chi \text{ for some } \chi \in \widehat{G}. \tag{3.12}$$

Noting that f_1 is defined up to a character of G we can assume that $f_1(x_1) = 1 = f_1(x_2)$ and $f_1(x_1x_2) = -1$. The equality (3.12) holds if and only if (*) $1 = f_1(x_j) = g(x_1)g(x_2)\chi(x_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ and
(**) $-1 = f_1(x_1x_2) = g(x_1x_2)^2\chi(x_1x_2)$ as t swaps x_1 and x_2. Since g^2 is a character, $g^2(a) = \pm 1$ for every $a \in G$. It follows that $g(x_j) = i^m, 0 \leq m \leq 3$. Say, $g(x_1) = i^m$ and $g(x_2) = i^k$. Then equation (*) gives $1 = i^{m+k}\chi(x_j)$. This equality shows that $\chi(x_1) = \chi_(x_2)$ and $m+k$ is even, because $\chi(a) = \pm 1$ for all a. Now (**), and the fact that g^2 is a character, gives $-1 = g^2(x_1)g^2(x_2)\chi(x_1)\chi(x_2) = i^{2(m+k)}i^{-2(m+k)} = 1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

We return to the proof of the $p = 2$ part of the Proposition. Let G be a group with decomposition (3.9). Set C to be the subgroup of Z_N^2 spanned by a set $B = B' \cup B'' \cup B'''$ where

$$\begin{aligned}B' &= \{\phi_2.s_{i,j} | i < j \text{ and } \alpha_{ij} \text{ is not a fixed point}\}\\ B'' &= \{s_{i,j} | i < j \text{ and } \{i, j\} \subset \{2m+1, \dots, n\}\}\\ B''' &= \{s_{2i-1,2i}\delta g_i | i = 1, \dots, m\}.\end{aligned}$$

There δg_i is chosen as in the proof of case (ii) of Lemma 3.6. Passing on to $Z_N^2/\ker\Phi$ we denote by $\overline{B_N^2}$ and $\overline{C}$ the image of those subgroups in $Z_N^2/\ker\Phi$. Pick a $v \in B$. If $v \in B' \cup B''$ then $v^2 = 1$ because the corresponding $s_{i,j}$ has order 2. For $v = s_{2i-1,2i}\delta g_i$, $v^2 = \delta g_i^2 = \delta f_i$. We know $t.f_i = f_i$ and $f_i^2 = 1$ and therefore $\phi_2.f_i = 1$, whence $\delta f_i \in \ker\Phi$ by definition (3.5). It follows that $\overline{v}^2 = 1$ for all $\overline{v} \in \overline{B}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.6 the mapping $\underline{a}$ sends $\overline{B}$ to the basis of $\mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$ described in Lemma 3.7. Therefore $\overline{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$ at least as an abelian group and forms a complement to $\overline{B_N^2}$ in $Z_N^2/\ker\Phi$. Since $\mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$ consists of fixed points the proof will be completed if we show the same for $\overline{C}$. The fact that $B' \cup B''$ consists of fixed points follows from $t\phi_2 = \phi_2$ and the case (i) of Lemma 3.6. For an $s_{2i-1,2i}\delta g_i$, the equality $\phi_2.s_{2i-1,2i} = s_{2i-1,2i}(t.s_{2i-1,2i}) = \alpha_{2i-12i} = \delta f_i$ gives $t.s_{2i-1,2i} = s_{2i-1,2i}\delta f_i$. Since $\delta f_i \in \ker\Phi$ and $t.\delta g_i = \delta g_i$ we see that $\overline{s_{2i-1,2i}\delta g_i}$ is a fixed point in $Z_N^2/\ker\Phi$ which completes the proof. □

4. The Isomorphism Theorems

In this section we work with even more special p-groups, namely, we assume G to be abelian. We begin, though, with a general observation. Let H be be an extension of type (A). The mapping π induces a $\Bbbk F$-comodule structure ρ_π on H via

$$\rho_\pi : H \to H \otimes \Bbbk F,\ \rho_\pi(h) = h_1 \otimes \pi(h_2). \tag{4.1}$$

H becomes an F-graded algebra with the graded components $H_f = \{h \in H | \rho_\pi(h) = h \otimes f\}$. Let $\chi : \Bbbk F \to H$ be a section of $\Bbbk F$ in H. By definition χ is a convolution invertible $\Bbbk F$-comodule mapping, that is

$$\rho_\pi(\chi(f)) = \chi(f) \otimes f, \text{ for every } f \in F \tag{4.2}$$

Set $\overline{f} = \chi(f)$. The next lemma is similar to [16, 3.4] or [18, 7.3.4].

Lemma 4.1. *For every $f \in F$ there holds $H_f = \Bbbk^G \overline{f}$*

Proof: By definition of components $H_1 = H^{\mathrm{co}\pi}$ which equals to $\Bbbk^G$ by the definition of extension. By the equation (4.2) $\rho_\pi(\overline{f}) = \overline{f} \otimes f$, hence $\Bbbk^G \overline{f} \subset H_f$. Since the containment holds for all f, the equalities

$$H = \oplus_{f\in F} H_f = \oplus_{f \in F} \Bbbk^G \overline{f}$$

force the equalities $H_f = \Bbbk^G \overline{f}$ for all $f \in F$. □

Definition 4.2. Given two F-graded algebras $H = \oplus H_f$ and $H' = \oplus H'_f$ and an automorphism $\alpha : F \to F$ we say that a linear mapping $\psi : H \to H'$ is an α-graded morphism if $\psi(H_f) = H'_{\alpha(f)}$ for all $f \in F$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose H and H' are two extensions of $\Bbbk F$ by $\Bbbk^G$ and $\psi : H \to H'$ a Hopf isomorphism sending $\Bbbk^G$ to $\Bbbk^G$. Then ψ is an α-graded mapping for some α.*

Proof: Suppose H and H' are given by some sequences

$$\Bbbk^G \overset{\iota}{\rightarrowtail} H \overset{\pi}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Bbbk F, \text{ and } \quad \Bbbk^G \overset{\iota'}{\rightarrowtail} H' \overset{\pi'}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Bbbk F$$

By definition of extension 1.2 $\operatorname{Ker}\pi = H(\Bbbk^G)^+$ and likewise $\operatorname{Ker}\pi' = H'(\Bbbk^G)^+$. By assumption $\psi(\Bbbk^G) = \Bbbk^G$, hence ψ induces a Hopf isomorphism $\alpha : H/H(\Bbbk^G)^+ \to H'/H'(\Bbbk^G)^+$. Replacing $H/H(\Bbbk^G)^+$ and $H'/H'(\Bbbk^G)^+$ by $\Bbbk F$ we can treat α as a Hopf isomorphism $\alpha : \Bbbk F \to \Bbbk F$. α is in fact an automorphism of F. We arrive at a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Bbbk^G \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & H \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & \Bbbk F \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] \\
\Bbbk^G \arrow[r, "\iota'"] & H' \arrow[r, "\pi'"] & \Bbbk F
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since ψ is a coalgebra mapping for every $f \in F$ we have

$$\Delta_{H'}(\psi(\overline{f})) = (\psi \otimes \psi)\Delta_H(\overline{f}) = \psi((\overline{f})_1) \otimes \psi((\overline{f})_2), \text{ hence}$$
$$\rho_{\pi'}(\psi(\overline{f})) = \psi((\overline{f})_1) \otimes \pi'\psi((\overline{f})_2) = \psi((\overline{f})_1) \otimes \alpha\pi((\overline{f})_2)$$

On the other hand, applying $\psi \otimes \alpha$ to the equality
$\rho_\pi(\overline{f}) = (\overline{f})_1 \otimes \pi((\overline{f})_2) = \overline{f} \otimes f$ gives

$$\psi((\overline{f})_1) \otimes \alpha\pi((\overline{f})_2) = \psi(\overline{f}) \otimes \alpha(f)$$

whence we deduce $\rho_{\pi'}(\psi(\overline{f})) = \psi(\overline{f}) \otimes \alpha(f)$. Thus $\psi(\overline{f}) \in H'_{\alpha(f)}$ which shows the inclusion

$$\psi(H_f) = \psi(\Bbbk^G\overline{f}) = \Bbbk^G\psi(\overline{f}) \subseteq H'_{\alpha(f)} = \Bbbk^G\overline{\alpha(f)}$$

Since both sides of the above inclusion have equal dimensions, the proof is complete. □

From this point on $F = C_p$. Let $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleleft'$ be two actions of C_p on G. We denote $(G, \triangleleft)$ and $(G, \triangleleft')$ the corresponding C_p-modules and we use the notation '$\bullet$' and '$\circ$' for the actions of C_p on $\Bbbk^G$ corresponding (by (1.11)) to $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleleft'$, respectively. A C_p-isomorphism λ between $(G, \triangleleft)$ and $(G, \triangleleft')$ is an automorphism of G with the property

$$(a \triangleleft x)\lambda = a\lambda \triangleleft' x,\ a \in G, x \in C_p \tag{4.3}$$

We make every λ act on functions $\tau : F \times G^2 \to \Bbbk^F$ by

$$(\tau.\lambda)(x, a, b) = \tau(x, a\lambda^{-1}, b\lambda^{-1}).$$

The definition of a Hopf cocycle depends on the action of C_p. We will use the notation $Z^2_c(\triangleleft), B^2_c(\triangleleft), H^2_c(\triangleleft)$ when the mention of a C_p-action is essential.

Lemma 4.4. (i) *The group* $Z^2(G, (\Bbbk^F)^\bullet)$ *is invariant under the action of any automorphism of* G*,*

(ii) *A* C_p*-isomorphism* $\lambda : (G, \triangleleft) \to (G, \triangleleft')$ *induces* C_p*-isomorphisms between the groups* $Z^2_c(\triangleleft), B^2_c(\triangleleft), H^2_c(\triangleleft)$ *and* $Z^2_c(\triangleleft'), B^2_c(\triangleleft'), H^2_c(\triangleleft')$*, respectively.*

Proof: (i) is immediate.

(ii) We must check C_p-linearity and condition (2.2) for $\tau.\lambda$. First we note λ^{-1} is a module isomorphism between $(G, \triangleleft')$ and $(G, \triangleleft)$, as one can check readily. Next we verify (2.2) and C_p-linearity in a single calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tau.\lambda)(xy)(a, b) = \tau(xy, a\lambda^{-1}, b\lambda^{-1})\\
&= \tau(x, a\lambda^{-1}, b\lambda^{-1})(x \bullet \tau(y, a\lambda^{-1}, b\lambda^{-1}))\\
&= \tau(x, a\lambda^{-1}, b\lambda^{-1})\tau(y, a\lambda^{-1} \triangleleft x, b\lambda^{-1} \triangleleft x)\\
&= \tau(x, a\lambda^{-1}, b\lambda^{-1})\tau(y, (a \triangleleft' x)\lambda^{-1}, (b \triangleleft' x)\lambda^{-1})\\
&= (\tau.\lambda)(x)(x \circ (\tau.\lambda)(y))(a, b).
\end{aligned}$$

In the case of B^2_c, first one checks the equality

$$(\delta_G\eta).\lambda = \delta_G(\eta.\lambda) \text{ for any } \eta : F \times G \to \Bbbk^\bullet.$$

It remains to verify the condition (2.3) for $\eta.\phi$. That is done similarly to the calculation in (ii). □

Let $(G, \triangleleft)$ be a C_p-module. We denote by $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ the group of C_p-automorphisms of $(G, \triangleleft)$. By the above Lemma $Z^2_c(\triangleleft)$ is an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-module. Symmetrically, we introduce the group $A_p = \operatorname{Aut}(C_p)$ of automorphisms of C_p. We define an action of A_p on $\operatorname{Map}(F \times G^2, \Bbbk^\bullet)$ via

$$\alpha.\tau(x, a, b) = \tau(\alpha(x), a, b)$$

We want to know how A_p acts on Z^2_c. Let $(G, \triangleleft)$ be a C_p-module. For $\alpha \in A_p$ we define a C_p-module $(G, \triangleleft^\alpha)$ via

$$a \triangleleft^\alpha x = a \triangleleft \alpha(x),\ a \in G,\ x \in C_p$$

Similarly, an action '$\bullet$' of C_p on $\Bbbk^G$ can be twisted by α into '$\bullet^\alpha$' by

$$x \bullet^\alpha r = \alpha(x) \bullet r,\ r \in \Bbbk^G$$

One can see easily that if $\bullet$ and $\triangleleft$ correspond to each other by (1.10), then so do $\bullet^\alpha$ and $\triangleleft^\alpha$.

Lemma 4.5. *Every $\alpha \in A_p$ induces an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-isomorphism between $Z^2_c(\triangleleft), B^2_c(\triangleleft), H^2_c(\triangleleft)$ and $Z^2_c(\triangleleft^\alpha), B^2_c(\triangleleft^\alpha), H^2_c(\triangleleft^\alpha)$, respectively.*

Proof: First we note that $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ can be identified with $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft^\alpha)$ for any α. For,

$$(g \triangleleft^\alpha x)\phi = (g \triangleleft \alpha(x))\phi = (g\phi) \triangleleft \alpha(x) = g\phi \triangleleft^\alpha x$$

Thus we will treat every $Z^2_c(\triangleleft^\alpha)$ as an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$- module. Our next step is to show that for every $\tau \in Z^2_c(\triangleleft)$, $\alpha.\tau$ lies in $Z^2_c(\triangleleft^\alpha)$. This boils down to checking (2.2) for the $\triangleleft^\alpha$-action as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha.\tau)(xy) &= \tau(\alpha(x)\alpha(y)) = \tau(\alpha(x)(\alpha(x) \bullet \tau(\alpha(y)) \\ &= \tau(\alpha(x))(x \bullet^\alpha \tau(\alpha(y)) = (\alpha.\tau(x)(x \bullet^\alpha (\alpha.\tau)(y)).\end{aligned}$$

As for $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-linearity, for every $\phi \in \mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}((\alpha.\tau).\phi)(x,a,b) &= (\alpha.\tau)(x,\phi^{-1}(a),\phi^{-1}(b)) = \tau(\alpha(x),\phi^{-1}(a),\phi^{-1}(b)) \\ &= (\tau.\phi)(\alpha(x),a,b) = (\alpha.(\tau.\phi))(x,a,b).\end{aligned}$$

□

We need several short remarks.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose τ is a 2-cocycle. Assume $r \in (\mathbb{k}^G)^\bullet$ is such that $\phi_p.r = \epsilon$. Set $r_i = \phi_i.r$, $1 \le i \le p$. Define a 1-cocycle $\zeta : G \to (\mathbb{k}^{C_p})^\bullet$ by $\zeta(t^i) = r_i$ and a 2-cocycle $\tau' = \tau(\delta_G\zeta)$. Then the mapping*

$$\iota : H(\tau,\triangleleft) \to H(\tau',\triangleleft),\ \iota(p_a t^i) = p_a r_i t^i,\ a \in G,\ 1 \le i \le p$$

is an equivalence of extensions.

Proof: We need to show $\delta_G\zeta \in B^2_c$ which means that ζ satisfies (2.3). The argument in Lemma 3.3 used to derive (2.2) from the condition (3.4) works verbatim for ζ. □

Lemma 4.7. *$H(\tau,\triangleleft)$ is cocommutative iff τ is a coboundary.*

Proof: $H^*(\tau,\triangleleft)$ is commutative iff $\overline{a}\overline{b} = \overline{b}\overline{a}$ which is equivalent to $\tau(a,b) = \tau(b,a)$. The latter is equivalent to $\tau(t) : G \times G \to \mathbb{k}^\bullet$ being a symmetric 2-cocycle, hence a coboundary. A reference to Lemma 3.4(i) completes the proof. □

Unless stated otherwise, $H(\tau,\triangleleft)$ is a noncocommutative Hopf algebra. We pick another algebra $H(\tau',\triangleleft')$ and let $\psi : H(\tau,\triangleleft) \to H(\tau',\triangleleft')$ stand for a Hopf isomorphism. It is well-known that ψ sends $\mathbb{k}^G$ to $\mathbb{k}^G$. For the reader's convenience we sketch a proof of this fact.

Lemma 4.8. *Mapping ψ induces an Hopf automorphism of $\mathbb{k}^G$.*

Proof: Let $\widehat{G} \hookrightarrow \Bbbk^G$ be the group of characters of G and $G(H)$ be the group of grouplikes of a Hopf algebra H. First we show the equality $\widehat{G} = G(H(\tau, \triangleleft))$. The containment $\widehat{G} \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}(H(\tau, \triangleleft))$ is clear. By Nichols-Zoeller's theorem [25] $|G(H(\tau, \triangleleft))|$ is a divisor of $\dim H(\tau, \triangleleft) = p{\cdot}|G|$. Were $G(H(\tau, \triangleleft)) \neq \widehat{G}$, then we'd have $|G(H(\tau, \triangleleft))| = \dim H(\tau, \triangleleft)$, contradicting noncocommutativity of $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$. Further ψ induces an isomorphism between $G(H(\tau, \triangleleft))$ and $G(H(\tau', \triangleleft'))$, hence by the preceeding observation an automorphism of $\widehat{G}$. Since $\widehat{G}$ is a basis of $\Bbbk^G$, the claim follows. □

Let $\phi = \psi|_{\Bbbk^G}$. By Lemma 4.8 ϕ is an automorphism of $\Bbbk^G$. Let ϕ^* be the automorphism of G induced by ϕ via

$$(a\phi^*)(f) = \mathrm{ev}(a)(\phi(f)) = \phi(f)(a),\ f \in \Bbbk^G. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose ϕ is C_p-linear isomorphism $(\Bbbk^G, \bullet) \to (\Bbbk^G, \circ)$. Then ϕ^* is a C_p-linear isomorphism $(G, \triangleleft') \to (G, \triangleleft)$.*

Proof: By this section's opening remarks '$\circ$' stands for the action of C_p on $\Bbbk^G$ in $H(\tau', \triangleleft')$. We calculate using (1.10)

$$\begin{aligned} f((a \triangleleft' x)\phi^*) &= \phi(f)(a \triangleleft' x) = (x \circ \phi(f))(a) = \phi(x \bullet f)(a) \\ &= (x \bullet f)(a\phi^*) = f(a\phi^* \triangleleft x), \text{ for all } f \in \Bbbk^G, \end{aligned}$$

hence $(a \triangleleft' x)\phi^* = a\phi^* \triangleleft x$.

We proceed to formulation of the first isomorphism theorem. Let $(G, \triangleleft)$ be a C_p-module. We denote by $[G, \triangleleft]$ the class of C_p-modules $(G, \triangleleft')$ isomorphic to a $(G, \triangleleft^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in A_p$. We let $\mathrm{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ stand for the set of all (equivalence classes of) extensions whose C_p-module G lies in $[G, \triangleleft]$. The group $H^2_c(\triangleleft)$ is an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-module by Lemma 4.4(ii). We let $\mathbb{N}(\triangleleft)$ denote the set of orbits generated by a non-semiHopf coboundary. The next theorem gives the non-group theoretical aspect of classification of isotypes of Hopf algebras in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$.

Theorem 4.10. (I). *Noncocommutative extensions $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$ and $H(\tau', \triangleleft')$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a C_p-linear mapping $\lambda : (G, \triangleleft) \simeq (G, {\triangleleft'}^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in A_p$ such that*

$$\tau' = \alpha^{-1}.\tau.\lambda$$

(II). *The elements of $\mathbb{N}(\triangleleft)$ correspond bijectively to the set of isoclasses of noncocommutative Hopf algebras in* $\mathrm{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$.

Proof: (I). In one direction, suppose $\psi : H(\tau, \triangleleft) \to H(\tau', \triangleleft')$ is an isomorphism. By Lemma 4.8 ψ induces an automorphism $\phi : \Bbbk^G \to \Bbbk^G$, and from Lemma 4.3 we have the equality $\psi(t) = rt^k$ for some k and

$r \in \Bbbk^G$. The equality $\psi(t^p) = 1$ implies $(rt^k)^p = \phi_p(t^k) \circ r = 1$ and as $\phi_p(t^k) = \phi_p(t)$ we have $\phi_p \circ r = 1$. This shows $r \in (\Bbbk^G)^\bullet$. Let $\alpha : x \to x^k, x \in C_p$ be this automorphism of C_p. Then the calculation

$$\phi(t \bullet f) = \psi(tft^{-1}) = r\alpha(t)\phi(f)\alpha(t)^{-1}r^{-1} = \alpha(t) \circ \phi(f),\ f \in \Bbbk^G$$

shows $\phi : (\Bbbk^G, \bullet) \to (\Bbbk^G, \circ^\alpha)$ is C_p-linear. It follows by Lemma 4.9 that $(G, \triangleleft'^\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $(G, \triangleleft)$ under ϕ^*, hence $\lambda = (\phi^*)^{-1} : (G, \triangleleft) \to (G, \triangleleft'^\alpha)$ is a required automorphism.

It remains to establish the second condition of the theorem. Set $s = \phi^{-1}(r)$ and observe that, as ϕ^{-1} is C_p-linear, $\phi_p \bullet s = 1$. Therefore by Lemma 4.6 there is an equivalence $\iota : H(\tau, \triangleleft) \to H(\widetilde{\tau}, \triangleleft)$ with $\iota(t) = st$. Note $\iota^{-1}(t) = s^{-1}t$, hence by the choice of s we have $(\psi\iota^{-1})(t) = t^k$. It follows we can assume $\psi(t) = t^k$ hence $\psi(x) = x^k$ for all $x \in C_p$.

Abbreviating $H(\tau, \triangleleft), H(\tau', \triangleleft')$ to H, H', respectively, we take up the identity.

$$\Delta_{H'}(\psi(x)) = (\psi \otimes \psi)\Delta_H(x), x \in C_p,$$

expressing comultiplicativity of ψ on elements of C_p. By (1.22) this translates into

$$\sum_{a,b} \tau'(x^k, a, b)p_a x^k \otimes p_b x^k = \sum_{c,d} \tau(x, c, d)\phi(p_c)x^k \otimes \phi(p_d)x^k. \tag{4.5}$$

Next we connect $\phi(p_b)$ to the action of ϕ^*. The argument used to prove (1.11) yields

$$\phi(p_b) = p_{b(\phi^*)^{-1}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Switching summation symbols c, d to $l = c(\phi^*)^{-1}$ and $m = d(\phi^*)^{-1}$, the right-hand side of (4.5) takes on the form

$$\sum_{l,m} \tau(x, l\phi^*, m\phi^*)p_l x^k \otimes p_m x^k$$

Thus ψ is comultiplicative on C_p iff

$$\tau'(\alpha(x), a, b) = \tau(x, a\phi^*, b\phi^*) = \tau(\phi^*)^{-1}(x, a, b) = \tau.\lambda(x, a, b). \tag{4.7}$$

Applying α^{-1} to the last displayed equation we arrive at

$$\tau'(x, a, b) = \alpha^{-1}\tau(x, a, b)\lambda. \tag{4.8}$$

as needed.

Conversely, let us assume hypotheses of part (I). Reversing the argument leading up from ϕ to λ we deduce that λ induces a C_p-isomorphism $\phi : (\Bbbk^G, \bullet) \to (\Bbbk^G, \circ^\alpha)$ preserving the grouplikes of $\Bbbk^G$, hence a Hopf isomorphism. We define

$$\psi : H(\tau, \triangleleft) \to H(\tau', \triangleleft') \text{ via } \psi(fx) = \phi(f)\alpha(x), f \in \Bbbk^G, x \in C_p.$$

A routine verification using $\phi(x\bullet f) = \alpha(x)\circ\phi(f)$ shows ψ is an algebra mapping. To see comultiplicativity of ψ we need to verify

$$\Delta_{H'}(\psi(fx)) = (\psi\otimes\psi)\Delta_H(fx). \tag{4.9}$$

By multiplicativity of $\Delta_{H'}, \psi, \Delta_H$ it suffices to check (4.9) for $\psi = \phi$ and for every $\psi(x)$. Now the first case holds as ϕ is a coalgebra mappping, and the second follows from $\tau' = \alpha^{-1}.\tau.\lambda$ by calculations (4.5) and (4.8).

(II). We let $\mathbb{X} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha\in A_p} H^2_c(\lhd^\alpha)$ denote the disjoint union of groups $H^2_c(\lhd^\alpha)$, and note that $\mathbb{X}$ is an $\mathbb{A}(\lhd)\times A_p$-set. For every $(G,\lhd')\in[G,\lhd]$ we fix a C_p-isomorphism $\imath(\lhd') : (G,\lhd')\simeq(G,\lhd^\beta)$. We define a mapping $\omega : H^2_c(\lhd')\to\mathbb{X}$ by $\omega(\tau') = \tau'.\imath(\lhd')$. Next we let $\mathrm{cl}(\tau,\lhd)$ stand for the set of all $(\tau',\lhd')$ with $H(\tau,\lhd)\simeq H(\tau',\lhd')$ as Hopf algebras. We observe that every orbit Ω of $\mathbb{A}(\lhd)\times A_p$ in $\mathbb{X}$ is determined by $\Omega\cap H^2_c(\lhd)$, for apparently $\Omega = A_p(\Omega\cap H^2_c(\lhd))$. Therefore the assertion of part (II) follows from the statement

$$\omega(\mathrm{cl}(\tau,\lhd)) = \tau(\mathbb{A}\times A_p). \tag{4.10}$$

In one direction, let $(\tau',\lhd')\in\mathrm{cl}(\tau,\lhd)$. By (4.8) $\omega(\tau') = \alpha^{-1}.\tau.\lambda\imath(\lhd')$. There λ is a C_p-isomorphism $(G,\lhd)\overset{\sim}{\to}(G,\lhd'^{\alpha})$ or equally well a C_p-isomorphism $(G,\lhd^{\alpha^{-1}})\overset{\sim}{\to}(G,\lhd')$. The composite mapping $\nu = \lambda\imath(\lhd')$ lies in $\mathbb{A}(\lhd)$. It follows that $\omega(\tau')\in\tau(\mathbb{A}\times A_p)$.

Conversely, suppose $\tau' = \alpha.\tau.\nu, \nu\in\mathbb{A}(\lhd), \alpha\in C_p$. By definition $\tau'\in H^2_c(\lhd^\alpha)$. We need to show $(\tau',\lhd^\alpha)\in\mathrm{cl}(\tau,\lhd)$, that is $H(\tau',\lhd^\alpha)\simeq H(\tau,\lhd)$. By assumption ν is a C_p-automorphism of $(G,\lhd)$, hence it induces a Hopf automorphism ϕ of $(\Bbbk^G,\bullet)$. Define a mapping

$$\psi : H(\tau,\lhd)\to H(\tau',\lhd^\alpha),\ \psi(fx) = \phi(f)\alpha^{-1}(x).$$

To see that ψ is a bialgebra mapping it would suffice to show ψ is multiplicative. For then the proof of the sufficiency part of (I) yields comultiplicativity of ψ.

Let $*$ denote multiplication in $H(\tau',\lhd^\alpha)$. Note C_p-action in $H(\tau',\lhd^\alpha)$ is ‘$\bullet^\alpha$’. Pick $f, f'\in\Bbbk^G, x, x'\in C_p$. Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi((fx)(f'x')) = \psi(f(x\bullet f')xx') = \phi(f)\phi(x\bullet f')\alpha^{-1}(x)\alpha^{-1}(x')\\
&= \phi(f)x\bullet\phi(f')\alpha^{-1}(x)\alpha^{-1}(x') = \phi(f)\alpha^{-1}\bullet^\alpha\phi(f')\alpha^{-1}(x)\alpha^{-1}(x')\\
&= (\phi(f\alpha^{-1}(x)) * (\phi(f')\alpha^{-1}(x'))
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We obtain a stronger result under a stricter condition on G, namely we assume G to be an elementary p-group. To this end we examine cocommutative extensions. We let $H^2_c(\triangleleft)/\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ stand for the set of all cyclic orbits of $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ in $H^2_c(\triangleleft)$. The second isomorphism theorem is

Theorem 4.11. *Let G be a finite elementary p-abelian group. Then there is a bijection between the set $H^2_c(\triangleleft)/\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ and the set of isoclasses of Hopf algebras in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$.*

Proof: In view of the preceeding theorem we need only consider isomorphism classes of cocommutative extensions $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$. By Lemma 4.7 $\tau = \delta\eta \in B^2_s$ is a coboundary. A proof of the Theorem comes down to the statement

$$H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft) \simeq H(\delta\zeta, \triangleleft') \text{ iff } \delta\zeta = (\delta\eta).(\phi^*)^{-1} \tag{4.11}$$

for a C_p-isomorphism $\phi : (\widehat{G}, \bullet) \to (\widehat{G}, \circ)$. The proof of (4.11) will be based on several intermediate results.

First we examine cocommutative extensions. By general principles a cocommutative Hopf algebra $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$ is a Hopf group algebra of some group L. Our first step is to identify that group.

Lemma 4.12. *A cocommutative extension $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$ is isomorphic as a Hopf algebra to a group algebra $\Bbbk L$ with $L \in \operatorname{Opext}(C_p, \widehat{G}, \bullet)$.*

Proof: Let $H \rightsquigarrow G(H)$ be the functor of taking the group of grouplikes of H. Applying $G(\cdot)$ to an extension $\Bbbk^G \rightarrowtail \Bbbk L \twoheadrightarrow \Bbbk C_p \in \operatorname{Opext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G, \triangleleft)$ yields an extension $\widehat{G} \rightarrowtail L \twoheadrightarrow C_p \in \operatorname{Opext}(C_p, \widehat{G}, \bullet)$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.4 we have a group isomorphism

$$B^2_s/B^2_c \simeq H^2(C_p, \widehat{G}, \bullet)$$

under the mapping $\delta\eta \mapsto \Phi\theta(\delta\eta) = \phi_p.\eta(t)N(\widehat{G})$. We want to construct an explicit isomorphism $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft) \simeq \Bbbk L(\Phi\theta(\delta\eta))$.

Let us write $\chi_\eta = \phi_p \bullet \eta(t)$ and denote $\overline{\chi_\eta} = \chi_\eta N(\widehat{G}, \bullet)$. We observe that $\overline{\chi_\eta} = \overline{\epsilon}$ means $\delta\eta$ is a Hopf coboundary. In this case $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft) \simeq H(\epsilon \otimes \epsilon, \triangleleft)$, where $\epsilon \otimes \epsilon$ is the trivial 2-cocycle. By (1.22) we have in $H(\epsilon \otimes \epsilon, \triangleleft)$

$$\Delta_H(t) = \sum_{a,b \in G} p_a t \otimes p_b t = t \otimes t$$

Thus $\widehat{G} \rtimes C_p$ consists of grouplikes, hence $H(\epsilon \otimes \epsilon, \triangleleft) = \Bbbk(\widehat{G} \rtimes C_p)$. In general, that is if $\overline{\chi} \neq \overline{\epsilon}$, t can be twisted into a grouplike.

In the foregoing notation, let $f = \eta(t)$. We claim the element ft is a grouplike in $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft)$. First, since $\eta : G \to (\Bbbk^{C_p})^{\bullet}$, $\eta(t) \in \Bbbk^G$, and ft

makes sense. By (1.22)

$$\Delta_H(t) = \sum_{a,b}(\delta f)(a,b)p_a t \otimes p_b t = \sum_{a,b} f(a)f(b)f(ab)^{-1}p_a t \otimes p_b t$$

Next apply Δ_H to the standard expansion $f = \sum f(a)p_a$. We get

$$\Delta_H(f) = \sum_a f(a)(\sum_{bc=a} p_b \otimes p_c) = \sum_{b,c} f(bc)p_b \otimes p_c$$

All in all we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_H(ft) = \Delta_H(f)\Delta_H(t)\\
&= (\sum_{a,b} f(ab)p_a \otimes p_b)(\sum_{a,b} f(a)f(b)f(ab)^{-1}p_a t \otimes p_b t)\\
&= \sum_{a,b} f(a)f(b)p_a t \otimes p_b t = (\sum_a f(a)p_a)t \otimes (\sum_b f(b)p_b)t = ft \otimes ft,
\end{aligned}$$

as needed.

Set $x = ft, \chi = \chi_\eta$ and observe that $x^p = \phi_p \bullet f = \chi$. We see x is a unit in $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft)$. The action of x on $\widehat{G}$ by conjugation coincides with the action of t. Let $G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)$ be the subgroup of $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft)$ generated by $\widehat{G}$ and x. Clearly $G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)/\widehat{G} = C_p$, hence $G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)$ is an extension of C_p by $\widehat{G}$ associated to the datum $\{\overline{\chi}, \bullet\}$. There $\overline{\chi}$ represents the cohomology class of $G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)$ as an element of $\operatorname{Opext}(C_p, \widehat{G}, \bullet)$, since $H^2(C_p, \widehat{G}, \bullet) = \widehat{G}^{C_p}/N(\widehat{G})$. From $|G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)| = \dim H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft)$ we conclude $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft) = \Bbbk G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)$. □

It becomes apparent that we have reduced the isomorphism problem for Hopf algebras to same problem for groups $G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet)$. We need to translate the condition (4.11) into the data $\{\overline{\chi}, \bullet\}$ and $\{\overline{\omega}, \circ\}$. In keeping with our convention we treat a coboundary $\delta\eta$ as an element of either B_s^2 or B_s^2/B_c^2.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\delta\eta \in B_c^2(\triangleleft)$ and $\delta\zeta \in B_c^2(\triangleleft')$. Put $\overline{\chi} = \Phi\theta(\delta\eta)$ and $\overline{\omega} = \Phi\theta(\delta\zeta)$. Then $\delta\zeta = (\delta\eta).(\phi^*)^{-1}$ iff $\phi(\overline{\chi}) = \overline{\omega}$.*

Proof: In the above statement we used the same letter ϕ for the induced isomorphism $\widehat{G}^{C_p}/N(\widehat{G}, \bullet) \to \widehat{G}^{C_p}/N(\widehat{G}, \circ)$. By definition of $(\phi^*)^{-1}$, $(\delta\eta).(\phi^*)^{-1}(a,b) = \eta(a\phi^*)\eta(b\phi^*)\eta((ab)\phi^*)^{-1}$. As $\eta(a\phi^*) = \phi(\eta)(a)$ by (4.4), it follows that $(\delta\eta).(\phi^*)^{-1} = \delta(\phi(\eta))$, hence the condition (4.11) says $\delta\zeta = \delta(\phi(\eta))$. Applying $\Phi\theta$ to the last equation, and using C_p-linearity of ϕ we derive $\phi_p.\zeta(t)N(\widehat{G}, \circ) = \phi_p\eta(t)N(\widehat{G}, \bullet)$, that is $\overline{\omega} = \phi(\overline{\chi})$. Since all steps of the proof are reversible, the proof is complete. □

The final step in the proof is

Proposition 4.14. *$G(\overline{\chi}, \bullet) \simeq G(\overline{\varpi}, \circ)$ if and only if $\exists\, C_p$-isomorphism $\phi : (\widehat{G}, \bullet) \to (\widehat{G}, \circ)$ such that $\phi(\overline{\chi}) = \overline{\varpi}$.*

Proof: The proof of the proposition will be carried out in steps.

(1) We assume action '$\bullet$' to be nontrivial which is equivalent to assuming $H(\delta\eta, \triangleleft)$ is a noncommutative algebra. We simplify notations by replacing $\widehat{G}$ with G, and $\overline{\chi}$ by $\overline{a}$ where $a \in G$ and $\overline{a} = aN(G)$. An extension of C_p by G defined by some $\overline{a}$ and '$\bullet$' will be denoted by $G(\overline{a}, \bullet)$. Recapping Lemma 4.12 we note that the group $G(\overline{a}, \bullet)$ is generated by G and an element $x \notin G$ such that $x^p = a$ and the action of x in G by conjugation coincides with the action of C_p. We note if $\overline{a} = \overline{1}$ then x can be chosen so that $x^p = 1$. For, from $x^p = \phi_p.b$ we have $(b^{-1}x)^p = 1$. It follows each $G(\overline{1}, \bullet) = G \rtimes C_p$.

(2) The groups $G(\overline{1}, \bullet)$ and $G(\overline{a}, \circ)$ are nonisomorphic for any choice of $\overline{a} \neq \overline{1}$. There '$\circ$' denotes the action of C_p in G for the second group.

Suppose $\psi : G(\overline{1}, \bullet) \to G(\overline{a}, \circ)$ is an isomorphism. Let x, y be elements of $G(\overline{1}, \bullet)$ and $G(\overline{a}, \circ)$,respectively with $x^p = 1$ and $y^p = a$. Were $\psi(g) = hy^k$ for some $g, h \in G$, we would have $1 = \psi(g^p) = (\phi_p(y^k) \circ h)a^k = (\phi_p(y) \circ h)a^k$ contradicting to $\overline{a} \neq \overline{1}$. Thus $\psi(G) = G$, hence $\psi(x) = cy^k$, $c \in G$, $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. But then the preceeding argument gives $1 = (\phi_p(y) \circ c)a^k$ whence $\overline{a} = \overline{1}$, a contradiction. Thus such ψ does not exist.

(3) The next step is to show C_p-modules $(G, \bullet^\alpha)$ and $(G, \bullet)$ are isomorphic for every $\alpha \in A_p$. Let us write G additively. The action of C_p in G induces a $\mathbb{Z}_p[C_p]$-module structure in G. Every indecomposable C_p-module is of the form $I_l = \mathbb{Z}_p[C_p]/\langle (t-1)^l \rangle$ for some $1 \leq l \leq p$ where $\langle u \rangle$ denotes the submodule generated by u. Therefore the Krull-Schmidt decomposition of $(G, \bullet)$ consists of blocks of direct products of modules I_l,

$$(G, \bullet) = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_p, \tag{4.12}$$

where $B_l = I_l^{m_l}$ is the product of m_l copies of I_l. The sequence $\{m_l\}$ determines the isomorphism type of $(G, \bullet)$. Let $\alpha : x \mapsto x^k, x \in C_p$. Each I_l remains invariant under the action of $\bullet^\alpha$ and is isomorphic to itself because $t^k - 1 = (t-1)(1 + t + \cdots + t^{k-1})$ and $1 + t + \cdots + t^{k-1}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_p[C_p]$. This proves our claim.

(4) Here we prove the proposition for groups $G(\overline{a}, \bullet)$ and $G(\overline{b}, \circ)$ with $\overline{a} \neq \overline{1}$ and $\overline{b} \neq \overline{1}$. We need only to show the necessary part, proof of sufficiency is fairly straghtforward.

Suppose $\psi : G(\overline{a}, \bullet) \to G(\overline{b}, \circ)$ is an isomorphism. Let x, y be elements of those groups such that $x^p = a$ and $y^p = b$. By the argument used in (2) there holds: $\psi(G) = G$ and $\psi(x) = cy^k$ for some $c \in G$ and $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. We derive the equality

$$\psi(x.g) = \psi(xgx^{-1}) = y^k g y^{-k} = y^k \circ g. \tag{4.13}$$

Note (4.13) shows the restriction $\phi = \psi|_G$ to be a C_p-isomorphism $\phi : (G, \bullet) \to (G, \circ^\alpha)$ where $\alpha : x \mapsto x^k$. Furthermore $\psi(x) = cy^k$ implies $\phi(a) = \psi(x^p) = (\phi_p(y^k) \circ c)y^{pk} = (\phi_p \circ c)b^k$ as $\phi_p(y^k) = \phi_p(y)$. Say $\lambda : (G, \circ^\alpha) \to (G, \circ)$ is a C_p-isomorphism guaranteed by part (3). Put $s = \lambda(b^k)$. Let b_l, s_l be the B_l components of b, s from the decomposition (4.12). Since b and s are fixed points, so are b_l and s_l for all l. Therefore they lie in the socle of B_l and are simulteneously equal to 0, or distinct from 0. Therefore there is an automorphism of the socle mapping s_l to b_l. Since each B_l is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p[C_p]/\langle (t-1)^l \rangle$-module there exists a C_p-automorphism σ_l such that $\sigma_l(s_l) = b_l$. It follows that there exists a C_p-automorphism σ of $(G, \circ)$ with $\sigma(s) = b$.

Since both λ and σ commute with the action of C_p, $\sigma\lambda(N(G, \circ)) = N(G, \circ)$. The mapping $\tilde{\phi} = \sigma\lambda\phi$ is a C_p-automorphism $(G, \bullet) \to (G, \circ)$ with the property $\tilde{\phi}(a) = (\sigma\lambda(\phi_p \circ c))b$, hence $\tilde{\phi}(\overline{a}) = \overline{b}$. This completes the proof of (4).

(5) We consider an isomorphism $\psi : G(\overline{1}, \bullet) \to G(\overline{1}, \circ)$. We need only to show the modules $(G, \bullet)$ and $(G, \circ)$ are isomorphic. Put $\mathbb{G} = G(\overline{1}, \bullet)$ and $\mathbb{G}_1 = G(\overline{1}, \circ)$. For a group F we let $\{\gamma_r(F)\}$ denote the lower central series of F [5]. A routine calculation yields
$\gamma_r(\mathbb{G}) = (t-1)^{r-1} \bullet G$. One can see easily $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \gamma_l(\mathbb{G})/\gamma_{l+1}(\mathbb{G}) = m_l + \cdots + m_p$. It becomes evident that the multiplicities m_j are determined by the lower central filtration. Since an isomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}_1$ induces isomorphism betweeen the lower central series in $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{G}_1$, the sequence $(m_1, \ldots, m_p)$ is an isomorphism invariant. This proves (5).

(6) It remains to settle the case of the trivial action. Now $G(\overline{a}) := G(\overline{1}, \bullet)$ is abelian. We have $N(G) = G^p = 1$, hence $\overline{a} = a$. If $a = 1, G(a) = G \times \langle x \rangle$ is an elementary abelian group. Else, $a \neq 1$, $x^p = a$ which shows x has order p^2. It is clear $G(1) \not\simeq G(a)$ for every $a \neq 1$. Furthermore, if $a \neq 1$, let $\overline{G}$ be a complement of a in G. Clearly $G(a) = \overline{G} \times \langle x \rangle$, hence if $b \neq 1$ is another element of G, $G(a) \simeq G(b)$. On the other hand, for every $a, b \neq 1$ there is an automorphism ϕ of G with $\phi(a) = \phi(b)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

5. Commutative Extensions

We continue with the assumption $G = C_p^n$ an elementary abelian p-group, and n a natural number. The number n will be called the *rank* of G. An algebra $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$ is commutative iff the action '$\triangleleft$' is trivial. Below we omit the symbol '$\triangleleft$' and write $H(\tau)$ for $H(\tau, \triangleleft)$. Every commutative finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field is of the form $\Bbbk^L$ ([10], [18, 2.3.1]) for some finite group L. We will identify groups L appearing in that formula for algebras $H(\tau)$.

Proposition 5.1. *The set of equivalence classes of commutative extensions in $\mathcal{E}xt(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ is in a bijective correspondence with the set of equivalence classes of central extensions of G by C_p. A bijection is given by $H(\tau) \leftrightarrows \Bbbk^{G(\tau)}$ where $G(\tau)$ is the central extension defined by a 2-cocycle τ.*

Proof: We examine $H^*(\tau)$. For the trivial action of C_p on G we have by Proposition 1.4 that for every $a \in G$

$$\Delta_{H^*}(\overline{a}) = \sum_{x,y \in C_p} \overline{a}p_x \otimes \overline{a}p_y = (\overline{a} \otimes \overline{a})(\sum_x p_x \otimes \sum_y p_y) = \overline{a} \otimes \overline{a}$$

Thus $\overline{a}$ is a grouplike for every $a \in G$. Let θ be a generator of $\widehat{C}_p$. Since $\Bbbk^{C_p}$ is a Hopf subalgebra of H^*, θ is a grouplike of H^*. We see that the set $G(\tau) = \{\overline{a}\theta^i | a \in G, 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ consists of grouplikes. Moreover, $|G(\tau)| = \dim H^*$, hence $G(\tau)$ is a basis of H^*. Therefore $G(\tau) = G(H^*)$ is a group and $H^* = \Bbbk G(\tau)$, whence $H = \Bbbk^{G(\tau)}$.

Since τ is a Hopf 2-cocycle it satisfies (2.2) which for the trivial action of C_p turns into $\tau(xy) = \tau(x)\tau(y)$. Thus $\tau(a, b) : C_p \to \Bbbk^\bullet$ is a character for any choice of $a, b \in G$. We see that $\tau : G \times G \to \widehat{C}_p$ is a 2-cocycle of G in $\widehat{C}_p$ hence $G(\tau)$ is a central extension of G by C_p defined by τ. It remains to notice that the subgroup B_c^2 consists of coboundaries in the group cohomology $Z^2(G, \widehat{C}_p)$. For, by Definition 2.3 $\delta\eta \in B_c^2$ iff η satisfies the condition (2.3), hence $\eta : G \to \widehat{C}_p$. $\square$

The next result gives the number of isotypes of commutative extensions in $\mathrm{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^G)$ for odd primes p. We begin with a Lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *For any odd prime and any action '$\triangleleft$' the isomorphism of Proposition 3.5 is $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-linear.*

Proof: For an odd p part (1) of Proposition 3.5 gives the splitting $Z_N^2 = B_N^2 \times \mathrm{Alt}_N(G)$ with both factors $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-submodules. Noting that B_c^2 is an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-submodule of Z_c^2, and both θ and Φ are $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-linear, we arrive at an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-isomorphism asserted in the lemma. $\square$

Proposition 5.3. *There are* $\lfloor \frac{3n+2}{2} \rfloor$ *isotypes of commutative Hopf algebra extensions of* $\Bbbk C_p$ *by* $\Bbbk^G$ *for any odd prime* p.

Proof: By Theorem 4.11 we need to compute the number of cyclic orbits of $\mathbb{A} := \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ in $H^2_c(\mathrm{triv})$. We note that for every trivial C_p-module M, $N(M) = M^p$. Therefore, if M has exponent p $N(M) = 0$ and $M_N = M$. From these remarks, in view of $\widehat{G}$ and $\mathrm{Alt}(G)$ having exponent p, we derive from Lemma 5.2 an $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$-isomorphism

$$H^2_c(\mathrm{triv}) \simeq \widehat{G} \times \mathrm{Alt}(G) \tag{5.1}$$

For a $(\chi, \beta) \in \widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}(G)$ we let $(\chi, \beta)\mathbb{A}$ denote the orbit of (χ, β). For every $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ we let K_χ denote $\ker \chi$.

We switch to the additive notation in our treatment of $\widehat{G} \times \mathrm{Alt}(G)$ and view the latter as a vector space over the prime field $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We note $\mathbb{A}$ acts in $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}(G)$ componentwise. We want to describe the orbits of $\mathbb{A}$ in $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}(G)$. Their classification relies on the theory of symplectic spaces. A symplectic space (V, β) is a vector space with an alternating form β. We need a structure theorem for such spaces (see e.g. [9]). For a subspace X of V we denote by $X^\perp$ the subspace consisting of all $v \in V$ such that $\beta(x, v) = 0$ for all $x \in X$. We call $V^\perp$ the radical of β and denote it by $\mathrm{rad}\,\beta$. We say that two elements $x, y \in V$ are orthogonal if $\beta(x, y) = 0$. We call subspaces X, Y orthogonal if $X \subset Y^\perp$, and we write $X \perp Y$. A symplectic space (V, β) is an orthogonal sum of subspaces $U_1, \ldots, U_k$ if $V = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_k$ and $U_i \perp U_j$ for all $i \neq j$. We use the symbol $V = U_1 \perp \cdots \perp U_k$ to denote an orthogonal decomposition of (V, β). A hyperbolic plane P is a 2-dimensional subspace of V such that $\beta(x, y) = 1$ relative to a basis $\{x, y\}$ of P. The elements x, y are called a hyperbolic pair. The structure theorem states

$$V = P_1 \perp \cdots \perp P_r \perp \mathrm{rad}\,\beta. \tag{5.2}$$

We call this splitting of V a complete orthogonal decomposition of (V, β). The number r will be refered to as the width of β, denoted by $w(\beta)$.

Let us agree to write $\mathrm{Alt}_r(G)$ for the set of alternating forms of width r. We identify $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}_0(G)$ with $\widehat{G}$. The set $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}_r(G)$ is visibly stable under $\mathbb{A}$. Using (5.2) one can see easily $\mathbb{A}$ acts transitively on $\mathrm{Alt}_r(G)$ for every r. It folows that every orbit of $\mathbb{A}$ lies in $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}_r(G)$ for some r. A refinement of $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathrm{Alt}_r(G)$ gives all cyclic orbits of $\mathbb{A}$.

Proposition 5.4. *The orbits of* $\mathbb{A}$ *in* $\widehat{G} \oplus \mathit{Alt}_r(G)$ *are as follows:*

(i) $\{0\}$ *and* $\widehat{G} \setminus \{0\}$ *if* $r = 0$*;*

(ii) $\{(0, \beta)\}$, $\{(\chi, \beta) | rad\beta \subset K_\chi\}$, $\{(\chi, \beta) | rad\beta \not\subset K_\chi\}$ *for every* $1 \leq r \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ *for an odd* n*, or* $1 \leq r < n/2$ *for an even* n*, where* β *runs over* $\mathrm{Alt}_r(G)$*;*

(iii) $\{(0, \beta)\}$ *and* $\{(\chi, \beta) | 0 \neq \chi\}$*, where* β *runs over* $\mathrm{Alt}_{n/2}$ *if* n *is even.*

Proof: Pick $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. Let $x \in G$ be an element, unique modulo K_χ, such that $\chi(x) = 1$ where 1 is the unity of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We associate a pair (K_χ, x) to χ. For any $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$ with an associated pair (K_λ, z) the equality $\chi.\phi = \lambda, \phi \in \mathbb{A}$ holds iff $K_\chi \phi = K_\lambda$ and $x.\phi = kz$ for some $k \in K_\lambda$. On the other hand, $\beta, \gamma \in \mathrm{Alt}(G)$ are related by $\beta.\phi = \gamma$ iff there is a decomposition (5.2) of (V, β) satisfying $P_i\phi$ is a hyperbolic plane for γ for all i and $(\mathrm{rad}\,\beta)\phi = \mathrm{rad}\,\gamma$.

Let $\langle X \rangle$ denote the subspace spanned by a subset $X \subset G$. Note $\mathbb{A}$ acts transitively on the set of pairs (L, x), $G = L \oplus \langle x \rangle$ hence $\mathbb{A}$ acts transitively on $\widehat{G} \setminus \{0\}$ which proves (i). (iii) is a special case of the second set in (ii) as $\mathrm{rad}\,\beta = 0$ for every β of width $n/2$.

We take up part (ii). First, we show that all sets there are $\mathbb{A}$ invariant. It suffices to consider the property $\mathrm{rad}\,\beta \subset \ker \chi$ of (χ, β). Let $(\lambda, \gamma) = (\chi, \beta).\phi$. Then $\beta.\phi = \gamma$ implies $(\mathrm{rad}\,\beta)\phi = \mathrm{rad}\,\gamma$. The second condition $\lambda = \chi.\phi$ yields the equality $K_\chi \phi = K_\lambda$. Therefore $\mathrm{rad}\,\gamma = (\mathrm{rad}\,\beta)\phi \subset K_\chi \phi = K_\lambda$.

Conversely, we will show first that the set $\{(\chi, \beta) | \mathrm{rad}\,\beta \subset K_\chi\}$ is a single orbit. Let β_χ denote the restriction of β to K_χ. Take a complete orthogonal decomposition $(K_\chi, \beta) = P_1 \perp \cdots \perp P_m \perp \mathrm{rad}\,\beta_\chi$. Suppose $w(\beta) = r$. Then $\dim \mathrm{rad}\,\beta = n - 2r$ while $\dim \mathrm{rad}\,\beta_{chi} = n - 1 - 2m$. Since $\mathrm{rad}\,\beta \subset \mathrm{rad}\,\beta_\chi$ it follows that $m < r$. Therefore $\dim \mathrm{rad}\,\beta_\chi \geq n - 1 - 2(r-1) = n - 2r + 1 > \dim \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$. Now pick $y \notin K_\chi$ and note $G = K_\chi \oplus \langle y \rangle$. Further, select an $x \in \mathrm{rad}\,\beta_\chi \setminus \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$. Notice x is not orthogonal to y for else, as $G = K_\chi \oplus \langle y \rangle$, $x \in \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$, a contradiction. Let $R = \mathrm{rad}\,\beta_\chi$. Since $\beta(x, y) \neq 0$ the functional $\beta(-, y) : R \to \mathbb{Z}_p, r \mapsto \beta(r, y), r \in R$ is nonzero. Therefore R splits up $R = \langle x \rangle \oplus \ker \beta(-, y)$. It is clear that $\ker \beta(-, y) = \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$ which implies $\dim R = n - 1 - 2m = n - 2r + 1$ hence $m = r - 1$. Put $P = P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{r-1}$ and observe that the restriction of β to P is nondegenerate meaning $P \cap P^\perp = 0$. From this we obtain a decomposition $G = P \perp P^\perp$. Since the number $w(\beta)$ is an invariant of decompositions (5.2) and $\mathrm{rad}\,\beta|_{P^\perp} = \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$, we conclude that $P^\perp = P_r \perp \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$. In consequence $R \cap P^\perp = (R \cap P^\perp) \perp \mathrm{rad}\,\beta$ as $\mathrm{rad}\,\beta \subset R$. Let u, v be a hyperbolic pair in P_r with $u \in R$. Then $v \notin K_\chi$, because $v \perp K_\chi$, but $v \notin R$ since $\dim R \cap P_r = 1$. We see that

G decomposes in two ways

$$(G,\beta) = K_\chi \perp \langle v\rangle, \text{ and} \tag{5.3}$$

$$(G,\beta) = P_1 \perp \cdots \perp P_{r-1} \perp P_r \perp \operatorname{rad}\beta \tag{5.4}$$

with $K_\chi = P_1 \oplus \cdots P_{r-1} \oplus \langle u, \operatorname{rad}\beta\rangle$ and $P_r = \langle u, v\rangle$. Pick another element (λ,γ) of the set. By (5.3),(5.4) $(G,\gamma) = Q_1 \perp \cdots \perp Q_r \perp \operatorname{rad}\gamma = K_\lambda \perp \langle w\rangle$ with $Q_r = \langle z, w\rangle$ and $K_\lambda = Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_{r-1} \oplus \langle z, \operatorname{rad}\gamma\rangle$. Then any automorphism ϕ sending P_i to Q_i for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$, $\operatorname{rad}\beta$ to $\operatorname{rad}\gamma$, and $u \mapsto z, v \mapsto w$ carries (χ,β) to (λ,γ).

Finally we show that the set $\{(\chi,\beta) | \operatorname{rad}\beta \not\subset K_\chi\}$ is a single orbit. Pick (χ,β) from the set, and let $(K_\chi,\beta) = P_1 \perp \cdots \perp P_m \perp \operatorname{rad}\beta_\chi$ be a complete orthogonal decomposition of (K_χ,β). Select a $y \in$ rad *beta* $\setminus K_\chi$. Then $G = K_\chi \oplus \langle y\rangle$, hence $\operatorname{rad}\beta = \operatorname{rad}\beta_\chi \oplus \langle y\rangle$. We see $(G,\beta) = P_1 \perp \cdots \perp P_m \perp (\operatorname{rad}\beta_\chi \oplus \langle y\rangle)$ is a complete orthogonal decomposition of G. it becomes evident that $m = r$ and for any other pair (λ,γ) from the set $(\chi,\beta).\phi = (\lambda,\gamma)$ for some $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$. A simple count of the number of orbits yields the formula. $\square$

6. One Old Result

Let p be an odd prime and $G = C_p \times C_p$. In [15, Thm. 3.1] A. Masuoka has described all semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 and found the number of isomorphism classes. We aim at rederiving the part of his results concerning the number of isotypes of Hopf algebras in $\operatorname{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$.

Proposition 6.1. *There are* $p+7$ *isotypes of Hopf algebras in* $\operatorname{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$*. Of those,* 4 *classes consists of commutative algebras,* 2 *classes consist of cocommutative, noncommutative algebras, and* $p+1$ *classes consist of noncommutative and noncocommutative algebras.*

Proof: We let $R = \mathbb{Z}_p C_p/\langle (t-1)^2\rangle$ where t is a generator of C_p. Every nontrivial C_p-module structure on $C_p \times C_p$ is isomorphic to R with the right regular C_p-action. Therefore, denoting by '$\triangleleft$' the regular action of C_p we have that all noncommutative extensions lie in $\operatorname{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$. Letting $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{triv}}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$ stand for all extension with the trivial C_p-action we see that $\operatorname{Ext}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$ is a disjoint union of $\operatorname{Ext}_{[G,\triangleleft]}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{triv}}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$. Plainly $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathrm{triv}}(\Bbbk C_p, \Bbbk^{C_p\times C_p})$ consists of all commutative extensions, and by Proposition 5.3 the number of isoclasses there is 4. We note that by Proposition 5.1 this is the well-known number [5] of nonisomorphic, noncyclic groups of order p^3.

Turning to noncommutative extensions we have by Theorem 4.11 that the number of isoclasses equals to the number of orbits of $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ in $H^2_c(\triangleleft)$. By Proposition 3.5 we have the equality

$$H^2_c(\triangleleft) = \widehat{R}^{C_p}/N(\widehat{R}) \times \mathrm{Alt}_N(R).$$

There $\widehat{R} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and action of C_p on $\widehat{R}$ is by $(x.r^*)(s) = r^*(s{\triangleleft}x)$ with $r^* \in \widehat{R}, x \in C_p, s \in R$. To simplify notation we write r for the image of $r \in \mathbb{Z}_pC_p$ in R. Put $e = 1, f = t - 1$. In the basis $\{e, f\}$ of R the action of C_p is given by $e \triangleleft t = e + f$, $f \triangleleft t = f$. Let $\{e^*, f^*\}$ be the basis dual to $\{e, f\}$. One can see easily that $t.e^* = e^*, t.f^* = e^* + f^*$. It follows immediately that $\widehat{R}^{C_p} = \mathbb{Z}_pe^*$ and, by the definition of $N(\widehat{R})$, we have $N(\widehat{R}) = \phi_p.\widehat{R} = (t-1)^{p-1}.\widehat{R} = 0$ as $p - 1 \geq 2$. Moving on to $\mathrm{Alt}(R)$ we regard it as the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-space of all alternate mappings $\beta : R \oplus R \to \mathbb{Z}_p$. Every β is determined by its value $\beta(e, f)$, hence $\mathrm{Alt}(R) = \mathbb{Z}_p\beta_0$ where β_0 is defined by $\beta_0(e, f) = 1$. We have $(t.\beta_0)(e, f) = \beta_0(e \triangleleft t, f \triangleleft t) = \beta_0(e, f)$. Consequently $\phi_p.\beta_0 = p\beta_0 = 0$ which means $\mathrm{Alt}_N(R) = \mathrm{Alt}(R)$. All in all, we obtain an isomorphism $\imath : H^2_c(\triangleleft) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ under which $(ae^*, b\beta_0) \mapsto (a, b), a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We take up $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft) := \mathrm{Aut}(R, \triangleleft)$. Every $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ is determined by its effect on 1, hence $\lambda = \lambda_s : r \mapsto s \triangleleft r$ for some $s \in R$. If $s = le + mf$, λ_s is an automorphism iff $l \neq 0 \mod p$. One can check easily $e^*.\lambda_s = le^*$. Next we calculate the effect of λ_s on β_0. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\beta_0.\lambda)(e, f) &= \beta_0(\lambda(e), \lambda(f)) = \beta_0(s{\triangleleft}^e, s{\triangleleft}f) = \beta_0(s, lf) \\ &= \beta_0(le + mf, lf) = l^2\beta_0(e, f).\end{aligned}$$

It becomes clear that if $\imath(\tau) = (a, b)$, then $\imath(\tau.\lambda) = (la, l^2b)$. Thus $\mathbb{A}(\triangleleft)$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ through the multiplication by elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet$. The subset $\mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet$ is stable under that action. For every (a, b) there, its stabilizer in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet$ is trivial. Therefore the size of the orbit of every $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet \times \mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet$ is $p - 1$, hence there are $p - 1$ orbits of this kind. There are clearly two orbits in the set $\{(0, b) | b \neq 0\}$ whence the number of orbits in $H^2_c(\triangleleft)$ is $p + 1$. Adding two orbits $\{(0, 0)\}$ and $\{(l, 0) | l \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\bullet\}$ corresponding to cocommutative extensions completes the proof. □

Appendix : Crossed product splitting of H

Proposition. *Let H be an extension of $\Bbbk F$ by $\Bbbk^G$. Then H is a crossed product of $\Bbbk F$ over $\Bbbk^G$.*

Proof: First observe that H is a Hopf-Galois extension of $\Bbbk^G$ by $\Bbbk F$ via $\rho_\pi = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \pi)\Delta_H : H \to H \otimes \Bbbk F$, see e.g. the proof of [18, 3.4.3], hence by [18, 8.1.7] H is a strongly F-graded algebra. Setting

$H_x = \{h \in H | \rho_\pi(h) = h \otimes x\}$ we have $H = \oplus_{x\in F} H_x$ with $H_1 = \Bbbk^G$ and $H_x H_{x^{-1}} = \Bbbk^G$ for all $x \in F$. Next for every $a \in G$ we construct elements $u(a) \in H_x$, $v(a) \in H_{x^{-1}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &u(a)v(a) = p_a,\, p_a u(a) = u(a), v(a)p_a = v(a), \text{ and} \\ &u(a)v(b) = 0 \text{ for all}\, a \neq b. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, were all $uv, u \in H_x, v \in H_{x^{-1}}$ lie in $\operatorname{span}\{p_b | b \neq a\}$, then so would $H_x H_{x^{-1}}$, a contradiction. Therefore there are u, v such that $uv = \sum c_b p_b, c_a \neq 0$. Setting $u(a) = \dfrac{1}{c_a} p_a u, v(a) = v p_a$ we get the elements satisfying having the first three properties stated above. Furthermore, the last property also holds because $u(a)v(b) = p_a u(a)v(b)p_b = p_a p_b u(a)v(b) = 0$. It follows that the elements $u_x = \sum_{a\in G} u(a), v_x = \sum_{a\in G} v(a)$ satisfy $u_x v_x = 1$ hence, as H is finite-dimensional, $v_x u_x = 1$ as well. Thus u_x is a 2-sided unit in H_x.

Now define $\chi : \Bbbk F \to H$ by $\chi(x) = \dfrac{1}{\epsilon(u_x)} u_x$. One can see immediately that χ is a convolution invertible mapping satisfying $\rho_\pi \circ \chi = \chi \otimes \mathrm{id}, \chi(1_F) = 1$ and $\epsilon_H \circ \chi = \epsilon_F$. Thus χ is a section of $\Bbbk F$ in H, which completes the proof. □

References

[1] N. Andruskiewitsch and J. Devoto, Extensions of Hopf algebras, *Algebra i Analiz* (1)**7**(1995), 17-52.

[2] N. Andruskiewitsch, Notes on extensions of Hopf algebras, *Can. J. Math.* **48**(1)(1996), 3-42

[3] R.J. Blatttner, M. Cohen and S. Montgomery, Crossed Product and Inner Actions of Hopf Algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc* **298**(2)(1986),671-711.

[4] N.P. Byott, Cleft extensions of Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **157**(1993),405-429.

[5] M. Hall, Jr., "The Theory of Groups", The Macmillan Company, New York, 1959.

[6] I. Hofstetter, Extensions of Hopf algebras and their cohomological description, *J. Algebra* **164**(1994), 264-298.

[7] Y. Kashina, Classification of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16, *J. Algebra* **232**(2000), 617-663.

[8] Y. Kashina, G. Mason, S. Montgomery, Computing the Frobenius-Schur indicator for abelian extensions of Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **251**(2002), 888-913.

[9] S. Lang, "Algebra", Addison-Wesley, 1993.

[10] R.G. Larson and D.E. Radford, Semisimple cosemisimple Hopf algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **110**(1988), 187-195.

[11] B.I-peng Lin, Crossed coproducts of Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **10**(1)(1982), 1-17.

[12] M. Mastnak, Hopf algebra extensions arising from semi-direct products of groups, *J. Algebra* **251**(2002), 413-434.

[13] S. MacLane, "Homology", Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **114**, Springer-Verlag, 1963.

[14] A. Masuoka and Y. Doi, Generalization of cleft comodule algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **20**(1992), 3703-3721.

[15] A. Masuoka, Self-dual Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 obtained by extensions, *J. Algebra* **178**(1995), 791-806.

[16] A. Masuoka, Extensions of Hopf algebras (Lecture Notes, University of Cordoba, 1997) Notas Mat.No. 41/99, FaMAF Uni. Nacional de Cordoba, 1999.

[17] R. K. Molnar, Semi-direct products of Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **47** (1977), 29-51.

[18] S. Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and their Actions on Rings, in: *CMBS Reg. Conf. Ser.Math.* **82**, AMS, 1993.

[19] H.-J. Schneider, Some remarks on exact sequences of quantum groups, *Comm. Algebra* (9)**21**(1993), 3337-3358.

[20] H.-J. Schneider, A normal basis and transitivity of crossed products for Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **152**(1992), 289-312.

[21] D. Stefan, The set of Types of n-dimensional semisimple and cosemisimple Hopf algebras is finite, *J. Algebra* **193**(1997), 571-580.

[22] E.J. Taft, The order of the antipode of a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **68** (1971), 2631-2633.

[23] M. Takeuchi, Matched pairs of groups and bismash products of Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **9**(1981), 841-882.

[24] K. Yamazaki, On projective representations and ring extensions of finite groups, *J. Fac. Science Univ. Tokyo, Sect. I* **10**(1964), 147-195.

[25] W.D. Nichols and M.B. Zoeller, A Hopf algebra freeness theorem,*Amer. J. Math* **111**(1989), 381-385.

DePaul University, Chicago, IL 60614
E-mail address: `lkrop@depaul.edu`